Research Report to the Boulder Mountain Parks Division of the Parks and

Recreation Department, the City of Boulder

Open Space Department, and the Wildland Fire Division

of the Boulder Fire Department

USING A PLOT-TRANSECT METHOD TO DETERMINE THE HISTORICAL FIRE REGIME FOR THE MONTANE FORESTS OF BOULDER, COLORADO

PRINCIPLE INVESTIGATOR: LEDA N. KOBZIAR

University of California at Berkeley

RESEARCH ASSISTANT: LUIS GARCIA

University of California at Berkeley

TIME PERIOD: June, 1999 – September, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

INTRODUCTION

The City of Boulder public forests, as part of the Colorado Front Range continuum, evolved within the context of a high-frequency fire disturbance regime (Veblen et al. 1996, Goldblum and Veblen 1992). In Front Range ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) and Douglas-fir *(Pseudotsuga menzisii)* forests, frequent low-intensity surface fires are documented in tree ring records, preserved sedimentation layers, and are inferred through comparisons with early settler documentation of historical forest conditions (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Studies have shown that non-lethal understory fires in these forests occurred an average of every 5 to 12 years in the Inland West before the influx of Euro-American settlement around 1858 (Swetnam and Betancourt 1990, Veblen and Lorenz 1991, Goldblum and Veblen 1992). Throughout much of the western US, Euro-American settlement corresponds to a dramatic increase in fire frequencies. Some, but not all, fire chronologies in the Boulder area have documented such a change (Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Veblen et al. *in press*), while an increase in fires in the late 1800s has been noted by historians (Veblen and Lorenz 1991, Smith 1981) and will likely emerge in subsequent fire history analyses in the western US.

As the Euro-American population in Boulder exploded in the early 1900's, forest fires were suppressed in order to protect land holdings, mining interests, timber investments, and the population. Suppression of all fires, whether anthropogenic or lightning ignited, has remained the dominant paradigm in forest management for nearly 100 years. Its ecological repercussions are

being increasingly recognized as threats to the health and sustainability of many western forests (Covington et al. 1994).

Changes in structural and compositional characteristics of western ponderosa pine-Douglas-fir ecosystems as a result of fire suppression are well documented (Weaver 1951, City of Boulder 1999, 1960, Biswell et al. 1973, Covington and Moore 1994). Increased density of overstory trees and suppressed, slow-growing sapling-size trees are common changes in western forests since the initiation of fire suppression. Shade-tolerant species are at a competitive advantage now, whereas the fire-adapted shade-intolerant species are losing their dominance and their fire tolerance is no longer an advantage over their competitors (City of Boulder 1999, Covington and Moore 1994).

Many ecologists support the restoration or simulation of historical disturbance patterns in fire-suppressed forests through the application of prescribed burning or prescribed natural fire (e.g. Sackett 1975, Harrington and Sackett 1990, Brown and Sieg 1996, Arno et al. 1995). These applications are based on mean fire return intervals determined using fire history methods developed in the late 1970's (McBride and Laven 1976, Arno and Sneck 1977). Yet the application of such restoration practices does not often reflect a thorough site-specific knowledge of the historical patterning of fires across environmental gradients (City of Boulder 1999, Taylor and Skinner 1998). Fire histories usually cover limited, disconnected areas and sampling procedures have not been standardized for sample size, tree selection criteria, or heterogeneity of environmental features (Johnson and Gutsell 1994, McBride 1983).

Fuel conditions, tree diameter and species composition, disturbance and anthropological history, and topography are all thought to play a role in fire scar occurrence and historical fire patterns (Gill 1974, Hadley 1994, Tunstall et al. 1976), supporting the necessity for interpretation of fire patterns in relation to these and other environmental features (McBride 1983, Taylor and Skinner 1998). In order to sample for such features, a methodology which encompasses the

topographic, vegetative, and historical diversity of the study site must be employed. In virtually every fire history study in the local area and throughout the western US, sample plots are variable in size or lack any definition of area, precluding the possibility for statistical comparisons between plots. Their location within the landscape of interest is not systematic or randomized, but instead involves sampling only subjectively selected trees within older cohorts to achieve a maximum record of historical fire events (Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Laven et al. 1980, Swetnam and Baisan 1996, Taylor and Skinner 1998, Veblen et al. 1996).

Few studies have addressed the need for proper sizing and stratification of the sampling area used to establish fire histories (Arno and Petersen 1983, Johnson and Gutsell 1994). Nor have studies analyzed the relative accuracy of fire history reconstructions determined from various sampling approaches, although some authors stress the importance of such analyses to the proper interpretation of fire history information as a basis for sound management decisions (Attiwill 1994, Johnson and Gutsell 1994, McBride 1983, Mutch and Cook 1996). Statistical design may not be considered practical or efficient by some authors, while informal sampling is thought to fulfill the objective of obtaining the most complete inventory of past fire events (Swetnam and Baisan 1996). Yet the results of informal sampling are used as if they provided a valid quantitative estimate of not only historical fire frequency but spatial characteristics as well (Johnson and Gutsell 1994). The measures of historical fire patterns are extrapolated without quantified validation across topographic and vegetative boundaries, and are used as the blueprints from which fire managements plans are drafted. This lack of attention to a statistical sampling design renders it impossible to determine how accurate or precise the fire regime reconstructions are, and brings into question the comparison of different fire history studies (Johnson and Gutsell 1994).

In order to substantiate the responsible reintroduction of historical fire regimes to fire-adapted ecosystems, the usefulness of a standardized methodology for evaluating fire history at

4

watershed, stand group (management area), stand, and plot scale will be explored. This study examines a standardized plot-transect sampling design used to determine the fire history for Boulder's Open Space and Mountain Parks forests. Wedges from fire-scarred material are extracted from plots and fire events are dated using standard dendrochronological and cross-dating techniques (Arno and Sneck 1977, Kilgore and Taylor 1979, McBride and Laven 1976, Stokes 1980).

Although fire patterns are not typically random across the landscape, the inclusion of a representative diversity of landscape features will allow this study to evaluate historical fires as they occurred in the Boulder public forests. Stands were delineated and chosen by the Open Space and Mountain Parks departments to represent the diversity of the forests across the entire landscape. While the selection of stands was not random, because all areas within the boundary of every stand have an equal chance of being sampled, this sample design is statistically valid and resembles a randomized sample (Johnson and Gutsell 1994).

The limitations of this sampling approach are comparable to the limitations of any randomized mensurative study. Whether or not the plots within a stand encompass fire scars is entirely up to chance, as the location of the plot is random. If smaller fires characterize the stand's fire regime, then it is possible that no plot location will correspond with a historical fire event. On the other hand, if the stand was influenced by widespread understory fires, plots have a greater chance of capturing fire scars within their boundaries. A high sampling density of plots helps to increase the chances of finding fire-scarred materials regardless of the fire regime characterizing the stand. In addition, the number of plots used to sample each stand is based on the variability of the basal area of that stand, which likely corresponds to the fire history of that forest. Although this methodology differs significantly from that used in other studies, descriptive fire interval statistics will be compared with results from fire histories already completed in sites near Boulder. This will provide an estimate of the comparability of this alternative sampling approach.

5

As this approach involves sampling from the entire diversity of the landscape, relationships between landscape characteristics such as aspect, elevation, and topographic position can be statistically compared to corresponding fire history data. In addition, the inventory and management units defined by Open Space and Mountain Parks agencies are identical to the stands used for this fire history analysis, allowing for specific information on fire history in each stand to be utilized in ecological analysis and decision-making. Interpretation of these fire records will test the ability of a systematic plot-transect method to capture the spatial patterning of historical fire events.

Recent reconstructions of Front Range historical fire patterns have shown that most landscapes were subjected to fire regimes of mixed severity and size (Veblen et al. *in press,* Brown et al. *in press).* Given the extreme nature of the topography, Boulder's forests have both mesic, north-facing dense forests capable of supporting stand-replacing fires, as well as the open, park-like ponderosa pine stands of the more southerly exposures. To supply fire history information that truly describes Boulder's diverse landscape, the fire regimes of small areas, defined by topographic and vegetative features, must be explored (Veblen *in press).* Therefore, plot-level fire scar collection across the diversity of Boulder's forests is used in this study as the starting point for all analysis. Plot-level (sub-acre), stand-level (11-50 acres; composed of grouped plots), and management group level (over 50 acres; composed of grouped stands) fire intervals statistics will be used in the fire reconstruction for Boulder's public lands to correspond to a multi-scalar management approach. Although experimental, the method presented in this study is hypothesized to provide a basis for the analysis of the relationships between Boulder's fire history, human history, and its forest characteristics.

The ability of this method to capture historical fire regime information in relation to landscape features will be compared with other nearby studies when possible. The hypotheses are as follows:

- The plot-transect method of fire scar sampling will supply information about Boulder's fire history comparable to that resulting from traditional sampling methods.

- This method will allow for a statistical examination of the relationships between fire interval data and forest and landscape characteristics.

Literature Review

Studies of fire history in the Northern and Central Front Range area are limited. Published fire history inventories in Boulder County include those conducted or calculated by Laven et al. in 1980, Goldblum and Veblen in 1992, Veblen et al. in 1996, and Mast et al. in 1998. Veblen et al. (1996) reported mean fire return intervals ranging from 8-14 years in lower montane sites to 20-30 years at higher elevation sites in Boulder County forests. Laven et al. (1980) found mean fire return intervals in the Roosevelt National Forest ponderosa pine- Douglas-fir stands to vary according to aspect and between historical eras. Intervals between fire scars did not generally change with topographic position, although the small sample size limits the conclusions which can be drawn from this study. In the Fourmile Canyon northwest of Boulder Creek just outside the boundaries of Open Space or Mountain Parks (Figure 1), Goldblum and Veblen (1992) compared four fire dating methods and found wedge sampling to be the most precise indicator of historical fire occurrence. Using 67 subjectively selected fire-scarred trees, the authors found a mean fire return interval of 15.2 years over the entire range of fire dates. Mast et al. (1998) quantified age structures of lower ecotone forests extending from the lower montane zone into the grasslands east of Boulder. These age structures were compared to fire disturbance regimes to show that changes in disturbance frequency has increased the density and extent of ponderosa pine in the grassland ecotone.

Variations in regional climate have been linked with concurrent fire years from sites along the Front Range (Veblen et al. 1996). Although research is continuing, results thus far have shown that historical fire events corresponded to the El Nino/ Southern Oscillation (ENSO) climatic cycle (City of Boulder 1999). Fire occurrences decreased during and increased 1-3 years following El Nino events (Swetnam and Baisan 1996, Veblen et al. 1996). El Nino events, with higher rates of precipitation, are believed to increase fuel production and thereby stimulate growth of the fine fuel necessary to carry fire. In Swetnam and Baisan's 1996 regional analysis of fire history in the Southwest, patterns of synchronous fire events across hundreds of miles supports the overriding influence of climate on long-term fire patterns. Drought indices were used to reveal that significant 2-year lag relationships existed between fire years and climate (Swetnam and Baisan 1996). The effect of climate on fire cycles is increasingly evident, providing explanations for the synchrony of major fire years in the Boulder area with those throughout the Southwestern US.

Using the traditional method of subjective sampling of fire scarred trees, none of these studies were completed with a statistical sampling design. The extent of Open Space or Mountain Parks forest included in any of these studies is minimal, and is limited to the lower elevations of grassland-ecotone contained in the eastern perimeters of the public lands.

STUDY AREA

Geography, Geology, and Soils

Boulder is located along the Colorado Front Range of the Rocky Mountains, about 30 miles northwest of Denver. In north central Colorado, the Front Range extends from 150 km south of

the Wyoming border southwards to Canon City, Colorado. The massif stands as the easternmost protrusion of the Rocky Mountains in the United States.

The physical template on which Boulder's public forests developed is, like most of the Front Range, composed of an acidic Precambrian intrusive rock core (Madole 1973). This core has subsequently been intruded by acidic Tertiary plutons, creating the granodiorite Boulder Creek granite. The sedimentary stone that forms Boulder's scenic Flatirons backdrop is the Fountain Formation, a Pennsylvanian arkose sandstone and conglomerate (Hogan 1993). Coarsely textured, highly variable, and shallow soils comprise the substrate for Boulder's forests. Steep topography and frequent disturbance events prevent the soil profile from maturing in many sites (Peet 1981). Four complexes have been mapped in Boulder Mountain Parks and Open Space: the Goldvale-Rock outcrop, the Fern Cliff-Allens Park Rock outcrop, The Baller stony sandy loam, and the Juget-Rock outcrop (USDA 1975). These complexes are dominated by Ustolls in the meadows and some drier slopes, Lithic Orthents where the bedrock surfaces, and Cryoboralfs in forests and cooler sites (Hogan 1993). Cryoboralf soils are widespread in the lower montane forest, where slightly acidic, rocky, thin and immature soil characteristics are common (Mast et al. 1998, Peet 1981).

Climate

The City of Boulder is positioned in the nook of a topographic arc opening to the east, which gathers storm systems and pulls them upslope. As the easternmost extension of the Rocky Mountains in the US, Boulder's mountains are provided greater precipitation than are surrounding areas (Hogan 1993). Annual precipitation is 18 inches (45 cm), peaking in April and May, with upslope storms prevalent in the spring and fall as air masses from the Gulf of Mexico rise up against the mountain front (Callahan 1986). From June to September, low precipitation and high temperatures instigate higher rates of historical fire occurrence (Veblen et al. *in press*). Winter

months are characterized by Chinook winds: strong, dry and warm air masses which blow down into Boulder from the west. July is the warmest month at an average of 74° F/ 23° C, while January is the coldest (0° C/ 32° F). The season of frost-free days comprises more than one-third of the year (Callahan 1986). Mean annual temperature is 51° F (10.5° C).

Site Description

The study site encompasses the land holdings of two governmental agencies in Boulder, the City of Boulder Open Space Department and the Boulder Mountain Parks Division. Both land holdings are designed to conserve and promote biological diversity and sustainability of the montane forest type. Recognizing the need to protect the ecological value of the foothills forests as early as 1890, Boulder's population subsequently created a city tax in the mid- 1900's to maintain and acquire lands to be managed for preservation and limited timber supply. Boulder citizens thereby have a particular investment and interest in the preservation of the city lands.

The Open Space Department is responsible for managing around 8000 acres of forested land, including woodlands and the montane zone. The forests extend to the border of the urban population of Boulder towards the east, and up the foothills to the Mountain Parks boundary in the west. Some of the most rugged terrain of the Open Space forests is located above the canyon and small town of Eldorado Springs, just south of Boulder. Here, railways ran through tunnels servicing old mining sites and historic recreation centers, providing ample fire ignition sources from the construction and use of railroads during the late 1800s and early and mid-1900s (Veblen and Lorenz 1986).

The City of Boulder Mountain Park has been called the *sanctum sanctorum* of Boulder, serving as one of the last low-elevation refuges of montane forest in the Colorado Front Range (Hogan 1993). Broadly bounded by the Open Space lands to the east, north, and south, and the Roosevelt National Forest to the west, Mountain Parks comprises ca. 6000 acres of lower to upper

10

montane forest and woodlands. Encompassing the Flatirons and numerous other precipitous crags and steep slopes, Mountain Parks is a favored recreation site for the local population. Boundaries between the two land management agencies is purely political, as the topographic features of the two holding groups merge and intermingle.

Although recreationists frequent both land holdings, camping is not permitted and all campfires are prohibited in all of Open Space and Mountain Parks forests. Today's fire ignitions in the Boulder public lands are therefore primarily lightning generated or accidental. Fire suppression has been in effect since the creation of these two public lands agencies.

Vegetation of Boulder's Montane Forests

The Front Range ecotonal forests of Boulder are located where the Great Plains meet the southern Rocky Mountains. The narrow strip of montane forest (elevation c. 1800 to 2700 m) is located in the 3-6.5 km-wide foothills of the Front Range. Like montane forests throughout the Front Range, they are a disturbance phenomena, a product of frequent fire events, wind blow-down damage, and insect attacks which create a mosaic of stand ages and species compositions (Peet 1981). Fire is considered the dominant disturbance agent, thought to have maintained the open pine woodlands in elevations below 2000 m, and a ponderosa pine- Douglas-fir mix in the upper montane zone.

Steep environmental gradients provide a diversity of habitats, resulting in a high biological diversity within montane forests (Hogan 1993, Peet 1981). South-facing slopes and the lower montane zone (c. 1800 to 2300 m) are characterized by ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) dominance, with nearly equal presence of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), and scattered Rocky Mountain juniper (*Sabina scopulorum*). In the lower- and middle montane zone, north-facing slopes, which are cooler and moister than the south-facing aspects, show a loss of ponderosa pine dominance to increased Douglas-fir density and infrequent juniper. Aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) can be found in moist patches of the upper montane forests (c. 2400 to 2700

11

m), along with stands of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta latifolia*) where stand-replacing fires are thought to have occurred. Infrequent whitebark pine (*Pinus lambertiana*) or limber pine (*Pinus flexilis*) individuals can be seen scattered along the ridges of the slopes; they accompany *Pinus contorta* in areas too xeric for other conifers (Veblen and Lorenz 1986). In the upper montane zone, Douglas-fir tends to succeed lodgepole pine, limber pine, and aspen, which are all often colonizers of burned or disturbed sites (Peet 1981).

Understory vegetation differs largely according to aspect and elevation. Herbaceous species on south-facing, lower montane slopes include kinnikinnik (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*), wax currant (*Ribes cereum*), spike fescue (*Leucopoa kingii*), and sun sedge (*Carex pensylvania heliophila*). Understory vegetation of north-facing slopes includes jamesia (*Jamesia americana*), Rocky Mountain maple (*Acer glabrum*), ninebark (*Physocarpus monogynus*), and Oregon grape (*Mahonia repens*).

At elevations below the montane zone, former grasslands have been recently (within the last ~70 years) invaded by ponderosa pine and Rocky Mt. juniper, extending the range of pine woodland from the montane zone into the grasslands, which continue across the Great Plains (Goldblum and Veblen 1992). Bordering the montane zone at the upper elevation limit is the subalpine zone (c. 2800 m), where subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa)* and Engelmann spruce dominate (*Picea engelmannii*) on all aspects.

Results from the 1996-1999 Forest Inventory

The forest inventory conducted during the years of 1996 to 1998 provides extensive information about Boulder Open Space and Mountain Parks forest stands. The impacts of logging and Euro-American Settlement were most obvious in the lower montane zone of the Open Space lands (City of Boulder 1999). The majority of trees sampled in Open Space plots had diameters at breast

height under18 inches and were under 120 years old (City of Boulder 1999). Both ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir establishment dates were greatest in the early 1900s, presumably at the commencement of fire suppression policies or due to stand-replacing fires set by mining prospectors. Boulder's oldest trees (c. 300 years) were found along ridgetops and growing along or within scree fields, largely in Mountain Parks stands or in the Eldorado Springs stands of Open Space, where the topography prevented reasonable timber extraction access. Most of the stands were overstocked with seedlings (trees with DBH less than 1" and height greater than 6") and saplings (trees 1 to 4.9 inches DBH) (City of Boulder 1999).

Results from the inventory inspired a pro-active, adaptive management plan to be set forth for the two agencies, including extensive prescriptions for stand thinning and prescribed burning. Stand characteristics on which some of these prescriptions are based are shown in Tables 1 and 2 (Appendix A). Basal area is high for most stands, along with numbers of seedlings per acre. Average radial growth rates were less in stands with greater percent canopy cover, with a strongly inverse relationship between plot density and basal area increments for both ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir (City of Boulder 1999). Infestations of dwarf mistletoe on ponderosa pine (*Arceuthobium vaginatum*) are ubiquitous, while some sign of mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonous ponderosae)* was also documented. No significant forests with old-growth characteristics were identified in the more than 1000 plots surveyed (City of Boulder 1999). These forest characteristics are not only the ecological result of the alteration of disturbance regimes initiated at the turn of the century. They also reflect the history of anthropogenic influence in Boulder's forests over the last 300 or more years.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL HISTORY

Native American Influence—Pre-Settlement

A complex and important component of Boulder's fire history is the interactions of humans with the forest landscape. Although Boulder's historical fire regime is an ecological phenomenon, its source and characteristics are colored by a significant anthropogenic element. The furthest reaching documentation of Boulder's Pre-Settlement past suggests that the peoples native to the Front Range of Colorado had some effect on the disturbance regime and therefore the ecology of the grasslands and montane forests. The specific influence of Euro-American Settlement on Boulder's fire regime is more obvious and accessible, but the influence of the Native Americans is likely equally important to understanding Boulder's fire history.

The first Euro-Americans to explore the Front Range of Colorado were searching for gold, inspired by the disintegrating fervor of the over-tapped mineral deposits of California and early explorers' mentions of possible gold in the nearby Platte River (Smith 1981). But before they had a chance to sift the streams, they were met by roaming populations of Southern Arapahoe, Kiowa, Comanche, Cheyenne, and the mountain-dwelling Ute who would hunt along the Front Range during the winter. As documented by archeological research in nearby areas, Native Americans had a presence in the Boulder area as early as 8000 years ago (Husted 1965). According to archeological evidence as well as historical accounts documented by early explorers, native peoples set fires in all major geographic areas in the US, particularly in the Southwest (Pyne 1982, Stewart 1951). During the 1870's, John Wesley Powell led the USGS surveys of the Rocky Mountains, noting that:

Everywhere throughout the Rocky Mountain region the explorer away from the

beaten paths of civilization meets with great areas of dead forests...the mountaineer sees

the heavens filled with clouds of smoke. In the main these fires are set by Indians (Stegner

1962).

The native stewardship of the land is believed to have included spring-season fires set mostly in

the grassland-forest ecotone, where the treeless expanses of the Great Plains meet the lower

elevation forests of the Rockies. Using fire not only for cooking and warmth, native peoples

throughout the western US recognized the stimulatory effects of burning on grasses, reeds, and

forage for game. This recognition is likely to have spawned series of arson in the grasslands and

woodlands surrounding the Boulder area. A few short weeks following such fires, mule deer, elk,

bison, and mountain sheep would flock to the new vegetation, and the natives would presumably

usher them into groups to be killed for subsistence meat consumption and storage (Veblen and

Lorenz 1991). Abundant game-drive fences further suggest that the hunting grounds around

Boulder were important to the natives, and authors agree that controlled fires were likely used to

drive game as described above (Veblen and Lorenz 1986).

Additional, yet less ecologically savvy uses of fire included intimidating settlers, driving

away enemies or obscuring their vision, and communication between separated members of the

same tribe. As noted by Captain John C. Fremont in Nevada in 1844;

Columns of smoke rose over the country at scattered intervals—signals by which the

Indians here, as elsewhere, communicate to each other that enemies are in the country.

(Fremont 1887).

Such communication may have provided the ignition source for wildfires, or it may have

instigated the setting of larger forest fires, if groups were separated by great distances and

15

communication was essential (Gruell 1985). Unintentional expansion of the fire boundary may have ensued, given the proper fuel and climate conditions, causing widespread fires which could not be suppressed given the tools available at that time.

Lightning Vs. Native American Ignition Sources

The inability to differentiate between fires set by native peoples and those ignited by lightning complicates the Native American era of fire history analysis for locations along the Rocky Mountains and throughout the interior west. Implications for management depend upon whether or not the Native American-set fires significantly augmented the number of fires caused by lightning. In a fire history study compiling data from 63 sites throughout the Southwest, Swetnam and Baisan (1996) analyzed recent records of lightning strikes to conclude that even without Native American ignition sources, fire frequencies would have been accounted for by lightning ignitions in most cases. Their data support the hypothesis that proper fuel and climate conditions were more limiting than were ignition sources. Contrasting with popular belief, the authors conclude that "even if humans had never crossed the land bridge from Asia to North America, historical fire regimes in most Southwestern forests would still have been similar...to the fire regimes that we have documented" (Swetnam and Baisan 1996).

Yet archaeological identification of long-term Native American dwelling sites in Montana provided researchers with the opportunity to compare fire histories between known habitation sites and remote areas lacking any archeological evidence (Barrett 1980). Before 1860, substantial differences were found in the fire frequencies of paired sites (Barrett 1980). It is suggested that other locations known to have been inhabited by Native Americans—even if, as in Boulder's case, the inhabitants were nomadic-- were likewise significantly affected by intentional Native American burning (Barrett 1980, Gruell 1985).

16

Defining the historical range of variability of fire occurrence in Boulder thereby requires

an acceptance of a lack of boundaries between lightning and anthropogenic fire ignition sources.

The degree to which anthropogenic ignitions occurred throughout the last 8000 years has the

power to redefine our concept of "natural" forest succession and the montane forest's composition.

Without the tools to quantify this historical influence, scientists evaluating the historical

disturbance regime for western forests stress the probable occurrence of Native American fires

without quantifying the influence on the fire regime. Humans have inhabited the Boulder area

throughout the extent of this fire history analysis. Their influence here will be considered a

component of the "natural" fire regime by necessity.

Although the native peoples use of fire cannot be differentiated from natural ignitions, the

marked increase in tree-ring documented fires in the late 1850's corresponds precisely to Euro-

American settlement of the Boulder area.

Settlement: The Colorado Gold and Silver Mining Pursuits

Although French and Spanish explorers and fur traders probably visited the Front Range in the

1700's, the first documented presence of Euro-American settlers in the Boulder area was in 1858,

although earlier presence is noted in historical literature (Smith 1981). Attempts by the Arapaho

natives could not stop the entrepreneurial spirit of the pioneers, and gold was discovered in

tributaries of Boulder Creek in 1858. Word of the discovery brought forth the prospectors, and by

1859 both the cities of Boulder and Denver were established (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). At its

height, the Colorado Gold Rush beckoned as many as 100,000 prospectors to the dozens of boom

towns that emerged in the early years of the Rush (Buccholtz 1983). Silver and tellurium

discoveries followed, intensifying the need for development of wagon roads and later railroads

(Veblen and Lorenz 1991). These roads followed Boulder Creek up Boulder Canyon and Left

Hand Canyon, near present-day stands Fox-E, Fox-W, Kassler, and the 1- series of Mountain

17

Parks stands on Anemone Hill (Fig. 1). At the top of Left Hand Canyon, the mining settlement of Gold Hill was nearly deserted after a wildfire burned the town in October of 1860 (Smith 1981).

Influence of Settlement on Vegetation and Disturbance Patterns

Fire occurrence increased drastically during the Gold Rush years; at times a simple result of the practice of clearing "...away the fallen leaves so as to expose the naked rocks to the observation of the prospector" (Tice 1872). Other fires were accidental— escaped campfires and clearing of the forests for development in the area. Fire histories in nearby areas show a dramatic increase in fire scars during the settlement years (Veblen et al. 1996, Goldblum and Veblen 1992).

Within 20 years of the discovery of gold, the Union Pacific Railroad was constructed from Boulder westward into the mountains up Four Mile Canyon, again near the 1- series of Anemone Hill stands. Additional ore-transport tracks up the picturesque canyons attracted tourists, and hotel and spa sites were established. Eldorado Springs became a popular resort destination near the turn of the century, after railroads were constructed up the Eldorado Canyon and westward through the Rockies. This development, clearing of land, and influx of human population all provided potential sources of ignition for fires. The Eldo-N, S, E, and –W stands, along with the Mountains Parks 7- sites were all within the realm of influence of the population growth in the early 1900's. Fires were commonplace in the area during the 1870's through 1900. In 1871, 51 indictments for illegal fires were issued in Boulder County (Tice 1872). Around 1900, an estimated 11,700 hectares were burned in a wildfire southwest of the city of Boulder (Kemp 1960).

Demands for grazing lands and timber resources during the mining era were high. Ponderosa pine logging was common in Boulder's montane forests, and in some areas such as Boulder Canyon and Left Hand Canyon, timber removal was complete. The wood was used for building mines, for fuel, housing, and railroad construction. Loggers were reported to have set large fires in the 1890s in Colorado to salvage log the burned timber (Jack 1899). Logging

18

continued to be important even after the formation of the Colorado Forest Reserve in 1910, which included much of Boulder County's montane forests. Active fire suppression was initiated in 1920, largely to protect the timber resources.

The Roosevelt National Forest engaged in logging and intensive grazing of both pine and Douglas-fir forests until the 1960's (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Many of the sites included in this study had been selectively logged, especially in the lower elevation stands. Stumps from the settlement era provided some of the samples used to interpret the fire history of Boulder.

The influence of Euro-American settlement on Boulder County's forest vegetation can be seen in a time-lapse photographic analysis by Veblen and Lorenz (1991). The authors used the earliest known photographs from the region and found the present-day locations for exact comparisons. In general, the lower montane conifer zone has extended eastwards into the grasslands—a phenomenon thought to be the result of fire suppression or even climate change. Many grasslands documented in the photographs dating before 1900 are now populated by ponderosa pine and Rocky Mountain juniper. Similar phenomenon of conifer invasion of grasslands has been observed throughout the western US (Savage 1989, Vale 1981). These youngest stands within the management boundaries of Open Space were not included in this study, as the average stand ages are well below 90 years and well within the temporal grasp of fire suppression.

Also subject to fire suppression, the upper montane zone has increased in density and Douglas-fir presence. Many of the photographs from the late 1800s showed recently-burned stands throughout Boulder County. These fires are believed to have been ignited by settlers, either accidentally or intentionally. The present composition of the forest surrounding Boulder includes large patches of even-aged stands with origins dating back to the Euro-American settlement. Most of the historical photographs taken between 1880 and 1915 show stands in early stages of development, due to the fires or logging (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). The diversity of tree species

in the historical photographs supports the belief that the montane forests of Boulder resulted from a variety of frequent disturbance events.

Presettlement forests were not uniformly old-growth or dominated by shade-tolerant species (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Based on photographic comparisons, on xeric sites a shift in dominance from Douglas-fir to ponderosa pine following fire was seen, while at mesic sites Douglas-fir stands were replaced by Douglas-fir after disturbance events (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Stand dominated by aspen in the historical photos have been replaced by conifers during the Fire Suppression period, while the lodgepole pine stands appear to have replaced themselves.

Reconstructions of stand age and size structures have been utilized in understanding the history of other southwestern ponderosa pine forests similar to those found in Boulder. Low-density, open-canopy forests have shifted during the last century to higher-density, smaller-diameter and closed-canopy stands (Covington and Moore 1994, Covington et al. 1997, Fule et al. 1997). Logging of larger-diameter trees also contributed to this shift in forest structure.

After the devastating northern Rockies fires of 1910, the enactment of the nation-wide fire suppression policy eventually exerted its effect in Boulder around 1920 (Veblen et al. 1996). Fire suppression helped support the influx of lower canopy trees and the increase in stand density in Boulder's forests, to the competitive detriment of the remaining older stand component (Biondi 1996, City of Boulder 1999). The change in forest structure associated with the increase in widespread burning during Euro-American Settlement resulted in such a low fuel load in the early 1900's that fires were further decreased (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Without fire to "thin" the understory, small trees continue to thrive today in great densities, stressing the overstory for nutrients and water while providing "fuel ladders" which may increase the severity of potential fires. As fire has been prevented, the danger of severe damage to Boulder's forests and danger to its human population has been exacerbated.

Relationships between fire suppression and insect epidemics are less clear. The increase in tree density has likely increased competition in Boulder's forests over the last few decades. As mountain pine beetles (*Dendroctonous ponderosae*) are attracted to slow-growing, stressed trees, invasion potential is likely increased. High infestations of dwarf mistletoe further weakens trees and increases their susceptibility to insect attack (City of Boulder 1999, Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Greater densities of Douglas-fir in both the understory and overstory provide a greater food supply for the spruce budworm (*Choristoneura occidentalis*). Tree ring studies have supplied some evidence that budworm epidemics have become more severe and frequent over the last few decades (Swetnam 1987). Because virtually no records of Pre-Settlement insect outbreaks exist, the actual effects of fire suppression on infestations is uncertain.

As in pine forests throughout the west, the historical and spatial patterning of fire through time has played a prominent role in the structure, health, and sustainability of Boulder's forests. This analysis of Boulder's fire history explores the fire disturbance regime and its spatial patterns through time to better understand one of the primary forces which molded the forests of the Front Range.

METHODS

Open Space and Mountain Parks Forest Inventory

This study sampled a random selection of the Open Space and Mountain Parks forest inventory

stands established between 1996 and 1998. Both Open Space and Mountain Parks inventory

stands were delineated using aerial photography and field verification of homogenous overstory

species composition and density patterns. Topographic features defined the parameters of the

stands, such as abrupt changes in aspect, slope, elevation, or intersection with a drainage. When

necessary, boundaries were defined by roads, fence lines, power lines, or property boundaries

(Andrews et al. 2000). Stands were often bounded by riparian zones and encompassed an average

of 25 acres (range 11 to 75 acres). Stands included some rocky outcrop features, but were

generally bounded by larger features such as the Flatirons. Areas of especially heterogeneous

forest were not included in the stand delineation, as the size of the groups would have been too

small to sample appropriately.

Sampling intensity for the inventory was based on stand heterogeneity as reflected by basal

area. This method assumed that all forest characteristics would be sufficiently sampled based on

the variability of basal area (Andrews et al. 2000). The Colorado State Forest Service (1982) along

with the Nature Conservancy (1996) provided the method used to determine the number of sample

plots for each stand (Andrews et al. 2000). Based on a pre-sampled estimate of the mean number

of trees with a minimum basal area (basal area factor of 20), an algorithm described in Andrews et

al. 2000 (Forest Inventory Handbook) was used to determine the number of plots per stand. The

sampling density should reflect the variability in basal area throughout the stand, as determined via pre-inventory sampling cruises. Each final plot encompassed an area of 1/10th acre located around a permanent monument or with permanent references.

All plots were located along transect lines perpendicular to the prominent slope angle. Minimum spacing of plots was two chains (132 feet) in order to prevent overlapped sampling of forest features. The first plot was typically located at the bottom of the slope at a standard of 2 chains within the boundary of the stand to ensure a starting point within the stand boundaries. The number of transect lines was estimated using the minimum required spacing between plots and the minimum spacing of 2 chains between transect lines. Transects were typically between 3 and 5 chains apart (Andrews et al. 2000).

Data gathered during the forest inventory included tree characteristics within a variable radius plot using a 20 BAF (basal area factor) prism. The first three trees within the variable radius plot were cored for age and the last 10-years of annual growth increment. Canopy cover was measured at set points around the plot center using a densiometer. Each seedling and sapling within 1/100th acre area around the plot center was recorded and the first from north was aged. Tables 1 and 2 describe some of the characteristics recorded during the inventory.

Fire History Study Stand Selection

Many of City of Boulder Open Space stands were treated during the early 1980s for a mountain pine beetle outbreak during the "Project Greenslope" forest treatment. Lower-elevation stands and open ponderosa pine stands were thinned and chemically treated to control the infestation and to lower the fire danger potential. Because these stands were in some cases heavily managed, and predominantly located at lower ecotone elevations, they were not included in this study's stand selection. They would have had to have been sampled and treated as a separate statistical group, a undertaking that was not deemed necessary due to time constraints.

Forty-one pre-delineated inventory stands encompassing 453 1/10th acre plots were included in this fire history study to reflect the heterogeneity of the landscape included in Boulder's public forests. After an initial elimination of those stands having recently undergone management prescriptions, each stand outside of the grassland/forest ecotone was sampled. With few exceptions, all delineated stands in the upper montane zone were sampled along the entire length of the north-south corridor of public lands (Figure 1). As Mountain Parks is responsible for managing more of the upper montane stands, the majority of samples were from this land management agency (25 vs. 14, Table 1). Lower montane zone stands were both from Open Space and Mountain Parks lands, although many of the low elevation Open Space stands were not sampled due to the complicating effects of recent management activities (e. g. Project Greenslope). Stands range from elevations of c. 5500 to 8000 feet, and areas of 7 to 50 hectares. Out of the 453 plots visited, 115 plots supplied fire-scarred materials for sampling. Table 2 describes stands with no fire scarred material in or near the sampled plots.

Sampling of Fire-Scarred Specimens

For each 1/10th acre plot visited, every fire-scarred live tree, stump, downed woody debris, or snag was sampled. Fire scars, generally triangular in shape and located at the base of the tree on the uphill side, were carefully identified, so as not to be confused with mechanical or animal damage (McBride 1983). Lightning scars were also sampled, as the date of the lightning scar might provide insight into the ignition source of a fire. Trees or remnant material with folded bark meeting at a vertical crease, suggesting a healed-over fire scar, were sampled. In all cases, these specimens yielded subtended fire scars.

Wedges samples, or partial cross-sections, were cut non-destructively from the fire-scarred portion of the specimens using a chainsaw, following methods established by McBride and Laven (1976) and further detailed by Arno and Sneck (1977). When compared to core sampling,

this extraction technique allows for better identification and control of scar-related ring anomalies (Brown and Swetnam 1994, McBride 1983). Wedges were extracted at locations as close to the base of the tree or stump as possible in order to capture the maximum number of annual rings (Zackrisson 1980). The samples were prepared for ring analysis using standard methods; sanding the samples with consecutively finer grains of sand paper until a 400 grit smoothness was obtained for viewing under a dissecting microscope (Arno and Sneck 1977, McBride 1983).

Dating of Fire Scars

Ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, the two tree species which provided all of the identifiable fire scar samples, are known to be amongst the most sensitive recorders of environmental characteristics. It was thereby concluded that cross-dating of the samples could be completed without using measurements of the ring widths for every sample. The cross-dating method is based on the premise that climatic influences have a dominant effect on the relative ring width patterns in trees within a localized area. A particularly rainy year in Boulder should therefore result in wider ring widths in most of Boulder's trees, while drought years result in narrower rings. The consecutive patterning of drought and high precipitation years creates a series of signatures which can be used to identify the precise date of a fire scar.

A selection of samples with longer records were scanned into the software WinDendro to measure ring widths and create an informal master skeleton plot chronology for this study; a procedure commonly used in fire history studies. For every fire-scarred wedge sample, the pattern of annual ring widths was skeleton plotted and cross-dated (Stokes 1980) with the informal master chronology and with formal tree-ring chronology data collected from sites along the Front Range (International Tree-Ring Databank; Drew 1974, Stokes and Smiley 1968). Locally absent, missing, or false rings were carefully detected using these comparative procedures, and scars with questionable sources were not included in the fire history reconstruction. Skeleton plotting

provided a graphical methods of cross-dating ring width pattern signatures by identifying especially narrow or wide marker rings (Veblen et al. 1996). Combining the principles of skeleton plotting and cross-dating, precise dates were assigned to each year along the ring width axis (Veblen et al. 1996). Those samples lacking adequate marker years or patterns were not included in this study. Fire years which could not be conclusively cross-dated were dated within an error of + or − 5 years, and the results were analyzed separately from the verified fire dates. Around 60% of the samples cross-dated, while the ring patterns of the remaining samples were more sensitive to local environmental influences than to regional climate patterns, and could not thereby be dated using the patterns from the master chronology (Taylor and Skinner 1998). In addition, rot and decay rendered the conditions of other samples too denuded to date. A total of 205 samples were processed, of which 165 were datable using dendrochronological methods.

Fire-associated ring structures such as growth releases, double rings, traumatic resin ducts, or fire rings have been used as implications of fire events (Brown and Swetnam 1993). Such ring characteristics were not consistent enough in this study to be considered definitive markers of fire events, a conclusion commonly drawn in fire history studies in limited-site environments (e.g. Laven et al. 1980, Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Veblen et al. 1996, and Mast et al. 1998). Some structures were used to support the identification of a fire scar or its season, but fire dates were not obtained relying on even the most-prevalent traumatic resin ducts in order to avoid error.

Within the annual ring, the position of fire scars can be used to determine the season of the fire's occurrence. The shape, color appearance, and size of the cells which constitute the tree's annual ring, along with the scar shape can be viewed under a microscope for an analysis of scar seasonality (Dietrich and Swetnam 1984). Based on fire records of the last 80 years along the Front Range, fires occurred most frequently in late July or August. Yet differences in human ignition patterns or climatic cycles before the 1920's may have evoked an alternative seasonality pattern (Veblen et al. 1996). Such patterns may also lend insight into the ignition source of the

26

fire, as lightning from thunderheads is most prevalent in the late summer, while Native Americans are believed to have set early-Spring burns (Pyne 1982, Stewart 1951).

Fire occurrences were delineated into one of six categories for the analysis of tree ring patterns: dormant season, earlywood, middle and late-earlywood (first, second, and third third of the earlywood), latewood, and unknown location (Grissino-Mayer 1995). Initiation of radial growth begins around late May in Boulder, and the growing season continues until mid-July or mid-August (Brown et al. *in press*). For intra-annual identification of a fire's seasonality within the tree ring, the dormant season scar is located between the annual growth rings, while the three consecutive earlywood season scar types are identified within the lighter-colored, larger-celled portion of the annual ring. Latewood scars appear within the dark band which is typically used to define the boundary of the ring—where cell walls are thicker and cells are smaller. Because many fire scar samples used in this study are characterized by extremely narrow rings, these estimates of intra-annual fire occurrences should be evaluated with caution. Each sample represents the particularities of a single tree, which may respond to environmental influences differently from even its closest neighbor (Veblen et al. 1996).

Seasonality is important in distinguishing the correct calendar year for a fire's occurrence. Because the dormant season in the Front Range runs from about September to April, identifying the location of the scar within the annual ring is important (Veblen et al. 1996). As described in Veblen et al. (1996), if a dormant season scar is found neighboring trees with latewood scars, the earlier year of the dormant season is assumed. If the dormant scar is accompanied by samples with early earlywood scars, then the later year is used for the scar's annual date.

Analysis of Fire Scar Data

The integrated computer software program for fire history analysis, FHX2, was used to analyze the fire history data (Grissino-Mayer 1995). This integrated software package includes features

27

for analyzing historical fire patterns in relation to site characteristics (i.e. elevation or aspect), seasonality, or historical era. Here, fire dates for each plot was entered as a sample site to allow for the analysis of features associated with each plot, such as canopy cover, aspect, topographic position, or elevation. Use of the plot-transect sampling design lends itself to multiple-scale analysis. Fire regimes, fire scar occurrences, and the relationships of these data to topographic and vegetative characteristics were explored at the plot, stand, management group, and landscape or watershed scales.

The software package allows for the differential analysis of fire history using variations of sample group characteristics. For example, until a sample has been scarred once, it will not be considered a "recorder" tree until the year of that scar, as previously-scarred trees are known to be more susceptible to scarring by subsequent fires and are therefore more sensitive recorders (Romme 1980). The years included in the computation of descriptive fire interval statistics are thereby only equal to the recorder years. Although a sample may date back to the 1500s, its contribution to an area's fire history does not begin until the year of its first fire, which may be in the 1700s. This way, the influence of spot fires and lightning strikes is reduced, and the historical fire regime better reflects fire events which influenced areas outside the reach of a single specimen.

The differential analysis can also be programmed to include chronologies only from samples with a multiple number of fire scars. Due to the standardized sampling method used for this study, it is unlikely that adequate fire history information would be deemed using only multiple-scarred trees. The typical scarred sample had less than two fire scars, while the sample size within a plot was between 1 and 10 trees (Table 1). This fire regime analysis thereby shows the fire reconstruction using chronologies from specimens with at least one conclusive fire scar date. The program also allows the user to set a limit for the minimum percentages of trees scarred per site to be used in the analysis. Some fire histories in the area have limited their analysis to

fires known to have scarred at least 25% and a minimum of two scars per tree or remnant material (Veblen et al. 1996), while other analyses using a smaller sample size included every fire from each scarred tree (Laven et al. 1980, Goldblum and Veblen 1992). Due to the random chance of capturing a fire scar in a plot, (about 25% likelihood for this study), all fire scars were included in the analysis while the minimum demarcation requiring at least 10% of the trees to be scarred per analysis group was utilized when possible and applicable (Grissino-Mayer 1995). Exceptions to these requirements of 1 fire scar per tree and a minimum of 10% of the trees scarred per analysis grouping are noted.

For each stand, graphical composite fire event charts were created (Appendix B; Grissino-Mayer 1995). These charts show the dates of fire occurrences in a graphic, chronological fashion. At the bottom of the graph is a composite master fire event chart (Dietrich 1980). This chart can be used to interpret the dates of all fire events for a given area. Here, the composite fire chronology is used for each stand as well as stands grouped according to landscape features or management areas. Because it is unlikely for a single tree to record every fire within an area, the composite fire chronology best describes the fire regime in Boulder's forests (Veblen et al. 1996).

Dates and seasons of fire scars were analyzed. Three different scales of analysis were applied to the data: the plot-level, the stand level, and the management zone level. Fire regimes were described and compared for various grouping of plots. Landscape characteristics, as well as historical time periods and seasonality, were compared with fire intervals using statistically-derived measures of central tendency.

Data Analysis

Historical Fire Regime

Models of fire history which attempt to translate ecological ideas into mathematics must be carefully applied, as the processes which dictate fire patterns through time are stochastic and

measures of central tendency are based on numerous assumptions (Johnson and Van Wagner 1985). The traditional measure of central tendency in fire history analysis is the mean fire return interval (MFI). A measurement of the mean number of years between consecutive fires, the MFI can be calculated for any portion of a composite chronology (Dieterich 1980) or any group of fire composites for a given area. Baker (1992) highlighted the fact that the MFI for fire history analysis may not be an appropriate measure, as fire interval data is typically not symmetrically distributed. Because the maximum upper limit of the interval between fires is not defined whereas the lower limit is always 1, fire interval data is typically positively skewed (Baker 1992, Grissino-Mayer 1995). To help account for this positive skew, rings included in the analysis of fire intervals only include those after the sample's first fire event. Although the MFI assumes a normal distribution, a measure of central tendency which accounts for the skewedness in fire history data is the Weibull Median Probability Interval (WMPI) (Johnson and Gutsell 1994, Johnson and Van Wagner 1985).

Assumptions of the WMPI are that all the components of the area analyzed have been subjected to a homogenous fire regime for the time period of interest (Johnson and Van Wagner 1985). In Boulder's public lands, the fire regime is believed to have been relatively homogenous throughout the study area, as any stands subjected to prescribed burning were not included in the sample set. Yet the fire regime through time for the western US and Boulder has not been homogenous. The fire regime must therefore be separated into homogenous groups before the WMPI can be applied in the analysis of the entire dataset (Johnson and Van Wagner 1985).

Because the fire history record accumulated via this study does not date back further than the mid-1600s, four time period groupings are sufficient to identify homogeneity in the temporal trend of the fire regime. As the Euro-American Settlement of Boulder in the 1850s had a significant impact on the disturbance regime, this decade will divide the first two temporal groupings of homogenous fire regime. The Native American group will include dates prior to

30

1851, while the Settlement Period will include years 1851 until the policy of fire suppression was well underway in 1920 (Veblen et al. 1996). Although Settlement was only well underway by 1858, the year 1851 is used to demark the initiation of Euro-American influence and habitation in the Boulder area and to allow for comparison of the data with fire history reconstructions completed in the 1996 Veblen et al. study. The Native American period will also be separated into two groups in order to take into account the bias towards longer fire intervals resulting from the death of older trees and the resulting lack of older fire scars (Veblen et al. 1996). To allow for comparison of the Settlement and Suppression Periods, the Recent Native American Period is defined as 1781-1850, as in Veblen et al. (1996).

Groupings of plots based on spatial characteristics such as topographic position, aspect, and elevation, will be analyzed using the WMPI as well. As fire behavior and occurrence is thought to be influenced by these factors, the relative homogeneity of the fire regimes within and amongst these groups will be explored.

The WMPI, as an unbiased measure of central tendency, shows the exceedence probability, or the probability of fire intervals greater than a specified length of time. The WMPI is the fire interval associated with the exceedence probability of 50% (Veblen et al. 1996). Fire intervals for which 87.5 and 12.5 percent of the intervals fall above and below, respectively, are also presented along with the WMPI. Fire interval data are fitted to the WMPI distribution, and the goodness-of-fit is compared with that resulting from a normal distribution model using a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test (Grissino-Mayer 1995). FHX2 also computes the reciprocal of the WMPI, the Weibull Fire Frequency Probability, which shows the likelihood of fire occurrence for any given year.

Fire reconstructions at each site were tested to determine whether the distributions of fire events better fit an empirical or Weibull distribution. If the empirical distribution was a better fit, the mean fire return interval would be used for the analysis. In most cases, excluding the analysis

of entire data set as a whole, the Weibull distribution better described the data. This distribution was therefore used in the analysis of fire regimes for each site (e.g. Veblen et al. 1996).

Scar Occurrence, Fire Years, and Landscape Characteristics

Statistical tests were run to determine the significance of differences in fire regimes in relation to landscape characteristics. The mean fire return interval (MFI), the Weibull Distribution, and the variances of the groups were compared using two-tailed t-tests (both assuming and not assuming equal variances), the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S), and the F test, respectively (Grissino-Mayer 1995). These tests are standard for use in historical fire reconstruction analysis (Grissino-Mayer 1995, Veblen et al. 1996). Topographic, elevation, and aspect groups were defined at the plot level (1/10th acre) and grouped to provide adequate numbers of samples for statistical analysis. Group sizes were similar but not typically equal, at times requiring comparisons to be made for percentage of plots scarred and limiting fire reconstruction comparisons to a qualitative nature. Both scar occurrence across landscape features as well as historical fire regime metrics were analyzed.

Topography

Five topographic position groups were identified: ravine, lower slope, mid-slope, upper slope, and ridgetop. Each plot inventoried was categorized by the position of the center of the plot in relation to the surrounding landscape. Hilltops and knolls were categorized with ridgetops, as they are similar in abiotic features and are likely subjected to similar environmental influences. The number of samples in the ravine position (3) were too few to be used for the analysis.

Elevation

The elevation of each plot center was determined using GIS. Ranging from 5500-8000 feet, plots were divided into five groups; one for each 500 feet of elevation gain. Because each stand was sampled across the elevation gradient, stands are thoroughly divided amongst the groups, with each stand having plots in at least three groups.

Aspect

Aspects of each plot at plot center were determined using a compass and an estimate of the general azimuth of the entire plot. The fire history data from each plot was categorized into one of four aspect groupings: north (316-45 degrees), east (46-135 degrees), south (136-225 degrees), and west (226-315 degrees). Numbers of plots yielding datable fire samples with a southerly exposure were few (Table 3). For fire interval analysis, comparisons between aspects involving the southern exposure plots used a minimum of one sample scarred rather than the two-sample minimum otherwise adhered to. This enabled a statistical analysis of the differences between fire regimes involving the southern aspect. Comparisons between non-southern aspect fire history data maintained the use of a minimum number of two samples scarred for each fire event.

RESULTS

A total of 41 stands with 453 plots were searched for fire scarred materials, of which 27 stands and 115 plots yielded fire history information (25%). Stands ranged from 7 to 50 hectares, averaging about 11 ha in size. Of the 208 fire-scarred materials located, 167 were in extractable condition while the remaining materials were rotted or burned beyond recognition. Of the

extracted samples, 130 wedges from individual trees cross-dated conclusively, yielding a total of 238 fire scars.

The majority of samples were taken from live ponderosa pine trees, with dead samples (remnant material, snags, downed woody debris) comprising 59 of the 72 live samples. Dates for each scar were established and analyzed (Grissino-Mayer 1995). For all interpretation of fire interval statistics, the median and the WMPI were significantly lower than the MFI. This reflects the skewedness of the fire interval distributions. A larger supply of data would likely bring the three measures of central tendency into concert (Veblen et al. 1996).

1. Landscape Characteristics and Occurrence of Scarred Materials—Plot Group Analysis

All plots with fire scarred material were inventoried for their elevation, aspect, species composition, and topographic position. Similar plots were grouped for the comparative analysis of descriptive fire interval statistics. For example, all the south-facing or Douglas-fir dominated plots in the montane zone were grouped together, regardless of where they occurred in the landscape. Groups ranged from 9 to 60 plots, while most included between 30 and 50 plots (Table 3). Using this systematic and dense sampling approach, these comparisons might lend insight into the patterning of fires in relation to landscape or vegetative features.

1. a. Species Composition and Elevation

Although more ponderosa pines yielded fire-scarred samples (110 out of 160), there is no correlation between the percent ponderosa pine composition in each stand and the presence of fire-scarred samples. While 81% of the samples taken from ponderosa pines was conclusively dated, only 68% of the Douglas-fir samples were in good enough condition to use in the analysis. Approximately 60% of the samples were from live trees. About 10% more ponderosa pine samples were extracted from live trees than were from Douglas-fir trees. The fire scars yielded

34

from a single limber pine *(Pinus flexilis)* were the only representatives of other species of tree in this study.

In the montane zone of Boulder's public lands, the percentage of ponderosa pine generally decreases as elevation increases (Hogan 1993), while the relationship between elevation and number of scarred material found was positively linear, increasing with elevation up to 7500' (Table 3). Because the number of plots sampled in each elevation group was not equal, the percentage of plots yielding fire scarred material was determined. Plots at elevations between 5500-6000' and those between 7500-8000' had the highest percentage of scarred materials. Excluding the lowest elevation group, presence of scarred material generally increased with elevation.

1. b. Topographic Position

A majority of the scarred material was found in the middle of the slope of any sampled stand (48%), while the ravine plots yielded only one sample (Table 3). The upper slopes also supplied much of the fire history information (28%), as the ridgetops and the lower slopes followed in decreasing importance as locations for fire scarred material. Lightning scars were mostly found on ridgetops and middle slopes (23 scars in total), followed by the upper and lower slopes (10 scars total). The number of fire scars per topographic position was correlated with the number of lightning scars.

1. c. Aspect

West-facing plots yielded the highest occurrence of both fire- and lightning- scarred materials per plot sampled (44%; Table 3). North and east-facing slopes were second in percentage of plots supplying fire history information, while only 12% of the southern aspect plots encompassed fire-

scarred material. Most lightning scars were found on northern and eastern aspects, while southern aspects had the least percentage of lightning scars.

2. Landscape Characteristics and Historical Fire Regimes

Upon comparing the fire regimes through history for topographic, aspect, and elevation groups (divided at the plot level, as above), significant differences were identified between all divisions using the student's t-test, the folded F-test for variance analysis, and the K-S test to interpret distribution patterns (see Methods section). In order to limit the influence of spot fires or error in identification of fire dates, analysis parameters included a minimum number of 1 trees scarred for each fire event and a minimum scarring of 10% of the group for each fire unless otherwise noted.

Because the groupings of the sampled plots has an inherent effect on the resulting fire history reconstructions, actual data should not be compared between the groups. For example, the mean fire return interval (MFI) for the middle slope of the topography group cannot be compared with the fire regime for plots in the 5500 to 6000' division within the elevation group. These two divisions of the elevation and topographic position groups may have overlapping samples. In addition, parameters for statistical data analysis may not be the same, as some divisions within the groupings did not supply adequate data for analysis using the above-mentioned > 10% scarred limitation.

2.a. Topographic Position

The fire regime analysis for the topographic positions was not able to take the ravine sample into account, as only one sample yielded a single fire scar and therefore no fire intervals.

Fire regimes in relation to topographic position were interpreted using the four divisions as above. Only fire events for which more than 10% of the division was scarred were counted. The mean

fire return interval was shortest in the lower slope plots and longest for samples from the upper slope plots (8.2 and 14.4 years respectively; Table 3). Only two differences were found to be significant between the MFIs. The upper slope MFI was significantly different from that of the lower slope, while the upper slope MFI also differed from that of the ridgetop (Table 3). The number of fire intervals between the group's divisions was very similar, each group yielding between 14 and 19 fire intervals. As the most appropriate indicator of fire patterns, the Weibull Median Probability Interval (WMPI) showed a parallel ordination of fire probabilities to that of the MFI described above. Distributions were significantly different for each of the topographic group divisions (K-S test).

2. b. Aspect

Distributions of the fire event intervals were significantly different for each combination of aspect divisions (NE, NS, NW, ES, SW) except the eastern-western comparison. The WMPI was greatest for the southern aspect and lowest for the eastern aspect (Table 3). The fire return interval was longest for the south-facing plots, although this division was not found to have a significantly different MFI than the other divisions. With the shortest interval, the eastern aspect division MFI was significantly different from that of the northern aspect. The northern aspect was also statistically different from the MFI of the western plot (15.9 and 9.9 years respectively).

2. c. Elevation

Between 7501 and 8000', the mean fire return interval was significantly longer than for the four remaining elevation divisions (Table 3). The MFI increased linearly with elevation ($r^2 = 0.76$), while the WMPI was also lowest for the 5500-6000' division but greatest for plots within the 6501-7000' in elevation. Significant differences between the first two elevations groups as well as

between the highest elevation (7501-8000') and both the middle (6501-7000') and upper middle division (7001-7500') were discovered.

3. Historical Fire Regimes: Stand Level

Historical fire patterns were analyzed at the predetermined stand level (Open Space and Mountain Parks inventory stands), combining fire event data from each sample from each plot. Numbers of plots with fire scar data ranged from 1 to 10 per stand, while number of samples extracted from trees and dated ranged from 1 to 20 (Table 1). Composite master fire charts were plotted for each stand, showing every fire event (darkened pointers) in the stand along with unidentifiable scars (unfilled pointers). The composite line shows the compilation of all fire events for the entire stand, depicting the temporal spread of fires along the horizontal line (Appendix B). The earliest recorded fire date was in 1691, with the majority of fire dates between 1750 and 1870 and the most recent fire in 1991.

Mean fire return intervals were calculated for each stand except those with only one fire event recorded (1-9, 4-2, BS, and 4-3). The WMPI was determined for stands with more than 3 fire scars, again exempting 1-9, 4-2, BS, and 4-3, and additionally 7-1, 7-5 and Fox-W (Table 4). Although statistically significant relationships between stand site characteristics and fire data were lacking, relationships of a more qualitative nature did exist between MFI and elevation, and between WMPI and the average square-foot basal area per acre (Tables 1 and 4). The MFI increased with elevation, while the WMPI was higher in stands with greater average basal area per acre. Average stand age and elevation were not correlated, while elevation and percent ponderosa composition were loosely inversely correlated.

4. Historical Fire Regimes: Management Group/ Watershed

In order to supply information about management group units as defined by the Boulder City Mountain Parks and Open Space departments, stands were grouped according to their locations along the north-south and east-west axis in the public lands areas. Their elevations are typically within 1000' of each other, while some of the larger groups (i.e. Mp-4, 1, and Eldo) can be considered sub-watersheds. The number of stands in each group varies from 1 to 7, encompassing from 2 to 97 plots (Table 5). Temporal trends in fire events can be seen in the chronological graphs of the Management Areas (Appendix B).

The largest supply of fire scarred material came from the Mp-4 stands (88 fire scars dated). As mentioned above, the larger sampling pool of fire dates resulted in closer similarities between the measures of central tendency. Analysis of the goodness of fit of the empirical model to the fire interval data showed that for all management groups the WMPI metric provided the best statistic for describing the data.

Although the number of plots searched for fire evidence was strongly correlated with the number of fire-scarred materials obtained, the size of the pool of samples did not correlate with the MFI, the WMPI, or the Median Fire Interval (student's t-test, $P>.005$). This result suggests that the number of scars used does not directly affect the resulting fire regime information, although there likely exists a minimum number of samples necessary to measure the fire regime specific to a given area and its disturbance history.

Across the landscape, stands located in the more western portion of the public lands area had the highest WMPI (SG and MP-7, see Figure 1). Located closer to the grasslands on the eastern portion of the public lands jurisdiction, the latest fires were in the Mp-1 and Mp-3 management groups. Earliest preserved fire dates were found in less-accessible management areas with higher elevations and more rugged terrain (e.g. SG, Eldo, and Mp-7).

5. Seasonality

Approximately 55% of the scars dated were conclusively associated with intra-annual ring characteristics. Using the management groups as the units for comparison, only those groups with fewer than 3 samples did not follow the typical western US trend of increasing fire events in the late summer months. The management units were chosen for this analysis to increase the sample size of each group. Even so, Mp-2 and BS had less than 3 samples and fewer than 6 fire scars (Table 6).

For stands with more than 3 samples, over 90% of the fires occurred in the growing season, with nearly 85% in the later half (approximately July-August). Eldo was the only management group with scars conclusively dating in the dormant season, while the fire events in MP-4 were more dispersed throughout the growing season than in any other management unit (Table 6). Mp-7 had the highest proportion of earlywood scars, with half of its fires dating in the early portion of the growing season or before the season began. Stand groups towards the northern portion of the managed lands had slightly more fires during the late growing season than did the more southerly management groups. In addition, the higher elevation stand groups had fewer scars during the early growing season than did the lower elevation units.

6. Historical Periods

Fire intervals for the entire study area, including 115 plots and 160 fire-scarred samples, were analyzed in relation to historical periods. Three periods were defined: the Native American Period (pre-1850), the Euro-American Settlement Period (1850-1920), and the initiation of Fire Suppression (1921-1999). These periods closely resemble those used for fire history analyses in nearby areas (Table 8), (Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Veblen et al. 1996).

The earliest fire date, 1691, was not followed by another significant fire until 1766. With such few fires before the Euro-American Settlement Period, all fires which left scars, rather than

the 10% used in the previous analyses, of the samples were included. Using the fire history data from all of the stands put together, the distributions of fire return intervals resembled an empirical distribution closely enough to warrant the use of the mean fire interval (MFI) statistic. This will also enable the comparison of the results with those from nearby fire history studies.

The three historical fire periods were each significantly different from one another. After the initiation of fire suppression took effect in Boulder County around 1921, the fire regime lengthened to nearly four times that of the Euro-American Settlement Period (Table 7). The Native American Period was characterized by a mean fire return interval of 9.69, nearly twice that of the Euro-American Period. This pattern of increasing fire frequency during the Euro-American Period followed by a drastic lengthening of the fire interval during the Suppression Period is supported by nearby fire history studies (Laven et al. 1980, Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Veblen et al. 1996).

A quick glance at the fire composite graphs (Appendix B) will alert the observer to the numerous fires in the Eldo- stands after 1920. These fires were most likely associated with the mechanical effects of railroad tunnel building. Each of the Eldo- stands are located near to or directly above or below the railroad tracks and tunnels, which were built between 1940 and 1960. These fires are not numerous enough to affect the relative values of the fire interval statistics for each historical period. Yet their presence in the dataset is certain to underestimate the fire interval for the rest of Boulder's stands during the Fire Suppression period. As the Eldo- stands (and their surrounding landscape) do reflect a significant influence in Boulder's history, and represent a large portion of Boulder's Open Space forested lands, their fire dates were not excluded from this analysis.

DISCUSSION

Fire Interval Data Analysis Using the Plot-Transect Method

Recent fire history studies in the Front Range have resulted in a shift in understanding of the historical fire regime. Long thought to be characterized solely by low-intensity understory burns, landscape-level analyses have shown the fire regime to be much more complex. Evidence of small stand-replacing fires throughout the montane zone is detected not only in fire history reconstructions and historical records, but is consistent with widespread, even-aged stands of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir which originated between 1850 and 1910 (Veblen et al. *in press*). This complexity calls for the more detailed, small-scale analysis of fire regimes pursued in this study.

The plot-transect method proved useful in defining differences between fire regimes across aspects, topographic positions, and elevations, as well as between the periods of anthropogenic influence. Although the scar sample size sometimes approached the lower limit for valid analysis, sufficient fire history data was compiled for each analysis originally intended. The trends typically associated with fire regimes in the montane forests of the Front Range supported by the results of this study, although the specific fire interval statistics tended to be lower than those calculated in other studies (Tables 7 and 8). The range of fire intervals for stand and management unit analyses were comparable between the studies (Tables 4 and 5), while the sample size in this study exceeded that of the other studies, excluding Veblen et al. (1996).

Using the same historical period delineations, Veblen et al.'s (1996) mean fire interval for the Native American period was much higher than this study's findings. Boulder's Open Space and Mountain Parks sites are located in closer proximity to mining and developments during the early 1800's. Fires dating between the years of 1800 and 1850 are most responsible for shortening the fire return interval in Boulder. It is likely that the sites used by Veblen et al. were less

42

influenced by the Native American as well as Settler ignition sources, as the sites are located higher and further west into the Rocky Mountains (Veblen et al. 1996).

Studies have shown that size of area sampled is inversely correlated with fire frequency (Arno and Petersen 1983, Brown et al. in press, Swetnam and Baisan 1996). Although the number of plots in each grouping used in this study resulted in actual sampling of areas from 1 to 3 acres, the differences between the areas included in each sample group did not correlate with changes in fire regimes.

Each grouping of plots used to characterize fire regimes along an elevation gradient or at different aspects was recalculated from each individual scarred sample. This differs from the approach taken in Arno and Petersen's (1983) publication, one of the few which addresses the effect of sampling design on resulting fire regime statistics. Whereas the Arno and Petersen study used calculations of "grand means" (means of means) to interpret the effect of overlaying different scales of sampled areas, this study combined the individual fire scar data to compute new fire interval statistics each with their corresponding error measures. Calculations of grand means would have yielded different fire statistics than those used here for each change of scale (i.e. from stand to management area). Fire interval statistics for the various landscape features groups were likewise recalculated from the plot level, rather than averaging the means. As the WMPI supplied the best model to fit the distribution of the data, especially for the smaller sized groupings, this recalculation allowed for the statistical analysis of significant differences between distributions.

The grouping and recalculating of fire intervals for at times disjunct or widely dispersed plots assumes that the grouped plot features are relatively homogenous. For example, one plot may have a northerly aspect, located atop ridge, at the highest elevation in the area. This plot would be grouped with a distant plot, again with a northerly aspect, but located on the lower slope of a low elevation stand. Testing for the differences between plots which are grouped according to only one feature indeed evaluates the significance of that feature as long as the heterogeneity of

43

each group's components is relatively consistent. In addition, the features associated with, for example, a high elevation plot are precisely those which would affect the fire regime for that plot. High elevation plots presumably receive greater precipitation, while the vegetation is known to be more dense and dominated by Douglas-fir, with understory vegetation reflecting more mesic conditions (City of Boulder 1999, Veblen et al. *in press*, Veblen and Lorenz 1991). These characteristics have great influence on the fire regime. The environmental consequences of each group's defining trait are also being tested in the determination of significant differences between grouped plots.

Analysis of stand-level and management zone fire regimes utilized groupings based not on the characteristics of each plot but rather the plot locations. Composite fire histories for stands (Appendix B) can be compared, as stand areas were often similar while sampling intensity was correlated with tree density and basal area (Andrews et al. 2000, Veblen et al. 1996).

Fire regimes resulting from grouped analyses generally followed those determined using traditional subjective sampling of older cohorts. The systematic approach ensured ample sample depth, comparable to that used in other fire history studies in the Front Range (Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Laven et al. 1980, Skinner and Laven 1983), while the location of the plots within each stand's boundaries resembled a randomized sampling scheme seldom if ever used for a fire history analysis (Johnson and Gutsell 1993). In order to achieve a sufficient sample size to analyze fire regimes in relation to landscape features, nearly four times as many plots were searched for fire-scarred materials as supplied such materials. Whether or not finding fire-scarred samples in only 25% of the searched area was efficient depends on whether or not a statistically validated sampling design is important to fire history research. In order to answer this question, additional fire histories would need be conducted using statistical samples. It is likely that 75% of the area searched in traditional studies does not supply fire-scarred materials. The data for this

study was compiled in one field season and supplied a number of fire-scarred samples comparable to those used in studies conducted over multiple seasons.

The Occurrence of Scars and Fires Across the Landscape

Whereas ecological processes and anthropological history affect the timing of disturbance regimes, topography likely determines the spatial pattern (Hadley 1994). Elevation, topographic position, and aspect each defined the spatial patterning of scar occurrence across the Boulder landscape, while anthropological history at times had an overriding effect on fire and scarring patterns.

The increase of percentage scarred material per plot with elevation is most likely a result of differences in anthropogenic history. Lower elevation plots were most influenced by the logging, grazing, and burning associated with Euro-American Settlement. Much of the larger-diameter, older trees were removed or killed during this time, lowering the retention of fire scars as well as eliminating much of the dead or downed woody debris material. Although no significant relationship was found between elevation and age, higher elevation plots had greater levels of downed woody debris and larger-diameter trees from which to sample fire scars (typically Fuel Model 9; City of Boulder 1999). Lower desiccation rates at high elevations may also help explain the abundance of preserved fire scars.

Fire return intervals across the elevation gradient differed according to which measure of central tendency was applied. In contrast to the distribution of scarred material, mean fire frequency decreased with increasing elevation. This trend is typical for western forest fire histories (Veblen et al. 1996, Swetnam and Baisan 1996), where differences in the fire intervals for elevation groups are more explicit using the MFI than the WMPI measure. That this study also found the WMPI less in compliance with the elevation trend may be due to relatively small ranges

of elevation used for the analysis groupings. With a maximum of 2500' gradient throughout the study area, differences in fire regimes in relation to elevation may be hard to detect.

Fire regime characteristics as defined by aspect were more easily distinguished. With the longest fire return interval and WMPI, the southern aspect group had fewer plots than the other three aspect groupings. In contrast to the high elevation plots, desiccation rates presumably affected the preservation of fire scars on southern exposures. Additionally, as south-facing slopes are known to be occupied by faster-growing pine and are more easily accessed than their north-facing counterparts, (City of Boulder Inventory Database 1999), these sites provided much of the timber used during the Settlement Era. As evidenced by the abundant stumps in these plots, many of the oldest trees along with any associated fire scars were removed. The comparable number of fire intervals, along with the length of the fire record, suggested that a comparison was still valid (Table 3).

Significant differences between the distributions and means of fire intervals for all but the east-west comparison reflects the importance of site orientation in fire regime analysis. The relationship between historical fire patterns and aspect has not been statistically analyzed in any known publication. Generally, southern aspects receive more annual as well as seasonal insolation, and are therefore primed for fires with drier fuels. Yet the sites are also limited in water availability, affecting the production of fuels necessary to carry fires across the landscape. More mesic northern and western aspects have higher densities of both under- and overstory vegetation (City of Boulder Inventory Database). The northern and western plots are more susceptible to high severity fires, as their fuels are closely packed and abundant, with proliferate advanced regeneration providing "fuel ladders" into the overstory. Surface fires which may have occurred more often in sites with southerly aspects may not have burned hot enough to leave scars. The openness of the ponderosa pine-dominated lower elevation stands documented in historical

photographs was maintained by low-intensity fires which, due to their frequency, did not burn very hot (Veblen and Lorenz 1991).

As the highest number of lightning-strike scars was found on west-facing slopes, so was percentage of scarred material. Storms typically enter Boulder from the west and northwest, explaining the levels of lightning evidence on the western aspects. Southern slopes and lower slope positions, with the fewest lightning strikes, were likely less influenced by the incoming storms. This effect contributes to the lower percentage of fire scarred material in plots with a southerly aspect as well as those at lower topographic positions.

Ridgetops and lower slopes were least likely to supply scarred material per plot sampled. Ridgetops, with high susceptibility to environmental damage, are influenced by windthrow, desiccation, and the apex of any incoming storm weather. Tree density on ridgetops is significantly lower than that of any other topographic position (City of Boulder Inventory Database 1999), adding to the low probability of finding fire scarred materials. The majority of scarred material was found instead in plots located in the middle of the topographic gradient of each stand. Presumably, these plots are better protected from anthropogenic influences from below as well as weather-associated damage from above. Both mid-slope and ridgetop plots had high levels of lightning scars, which were positively correlated with number of fire scars.

Plots located within the lowest portion of the topographic gradient of the stands sampled had the lowest composite mean fire interval and WMPI; likely a reflection of the influence of anthropological proximity. Moving up the slope, fire intervals increased. As Euro-American settlers combed the creeks and rivers around Boulder, their influence spanned the elevation gains of the waterways, which was often quite extreme. Yet the most easily accessed forests for timber and firewood supply were always nearest to the drainage, regardless of the elevation. Prospectors most likely burned and logged in the lower topographic positions of the slopes, a grouping of plots *not* comparable to the low elevation grouping. Native American burning was also likely most

47

frequent at the bases of the slopes, although the drainages provided access to higher elevations. The fire regime data presented here reflects the governing influence of anthropological history on topographic positioning of fires, while environmental factors, including climate, have a greater overall impact on fire regimes across the elevation gradient.

Stand-level Analysis of Fire Regimes

Characteristics of the stands, including average age, number of plots, seedlings per acre, fuel model, and canopy cover lack statistical correlation with fire regime data due to non-fire related changes in forest structure and disturbance regime over the last 80 years. Had the historical fire regime not been halted by fire suppression policy, these stand-level factors might have better compared with descriptive fire interval statistics. Present-day forests are not thought to resemble those of even 100 years ago (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). It is thereby logical that today's forest characteristics may no longer reflect yesterday's fire regimes. Additionally, the sample size per stand was often too small for statistical analysis. At the stand level, the plot-transect method may not provide sufficient fire history data for comparison with site characteristics. Larger stand sizes would also increase the effectiveness of this sampling technique.

On the other hand, quantitative differences exist between the mean fire return interval and elevation, and between the WMPI and average basal area per acre. The MFI increased with average stand elevation, presenting a result identical to that found using grouped plots. That greater basal area corresponded to a longer WMPI reflects the shifting forest structure in stands where fire suppression was most effective, or where understory regeneration is most proliferate. The Boulder Forest Inventory Database shows that stands with greater basal area are often those with more trees rather than those with larger diameter trees (City of Boulder 1999).

Fire Regime at the Management Group Level

The plot-transect sampling approach was able to detect years and seasons of widespread fire for Boulder's management units (Appendix B). The years of these late-growing season fires are common to Front Range fire history studies, including 1785, 1842, 1850/51, 1860, and 1880 (Brown et al. *in press*, Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Swetnam and Baisan 1996, Veblen et al. 1996). For example, years with the highest occurrence of fires are all within 10 years of the discovery of gold in Boulder in 1858 (Composite Master Chronology Appendix B). Although Boulder's major fire years generally occur during and are attributable to the Euro-American Settlement era, (1851-1920), they are also well correlated with western US climatic patterns explored in recent literature. In the Front Range, widespread fires were found to be strongly associated with warmer and drier spring and summers. Two to four years of wetter than average springs tended to precede these widespread fire years, creating the fine fuels necessary to carry fires (Veblen et al. *in press*). The alteration of wetter and drier years on a 2-5 year cycle is linked to El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events, a climatic cycle active during the latter half of the 19th century (Swetnam and Betancourt 1990). The increase in fires during the Settlement era is related to periods of drought and above-average precipitation followed by drought, and widespread fire dates reflect precipitation patterns quite precisely (Veblen et al. *in press*). Although it is impossible to determine which influence governed the increase in fires during the Settlement Era, a consideration of both anthropological history and climate patterns should be included in the recognition fire periodicity.

In the upper montane zone, post-fire stands of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir are extensive throughout the Front Range, with establishment dates all in the late 1800's and first decade of the 20th century (Peet 1988, Mast et al. 1998). Present-day vegetation patterns in Boulder's forests likewise reflect abundant fires during the later half of the 19th century. Most of

the upper elevation stands are relatively even-aged, with large cohorts between 80 and 140 years in age (City of Boulder Forest Inventory, City of Boulder 1999). The large majority of trees sampled have centers dating back to a short range of years between 1880 and 1910 (City of Boulder 1999). The widespread fire year events of the late 1800s might explain some of this trend.

The influence of fire suppression is also evident in the fire scars of Boulder's public forests. After the surge in fire frequency between 1851 and 1920, the fire interval nearly tripled in length, reflecting the success of the suppression policy. Such shifts have been noted in pine forests throughout the western US (Brown et al. *in press*, Goldblum and Veblen 1992, Swetnam and Baisan 1996, Veblen et al. 1996, Laven et al. 1980). The elimination of the historically-prevalent fire regime has affected the composition and structure of Boulder's forests. Periodic fires with variable intensity and size most likely constituted the historical fire regime in Boulder. Such fires would secure a mosaic of stand ages and species, as pioneer species would be more prevalent and shade-tolerant species would no longer have the advantage. Boulder's lodgepole pine stand reflects the most recent stand-replacing fire, likely dating back to the turn of the century. Surrounding stands with fire scars are indicators which support the dating of this stand's initiation to the late 1800s. The plot-transect sampling method was unable to capture fire scars directly near the lodgepole stand, but it is believed that, had fire suppression not be instituted, many more such stands would exist today in the higher elevations of Boulder's forests. The complete lack of fire scars in some of the highest stands (see Figure 1: Mp-6 stands located atop Bear Peak) reflects the elimination of fires following the episode of stand establishment. These high elevation stands are densely littered with downed woody debris, while standing snags and slow-growing trees are ubiquitous. Given these forest conditions, the potential for stand-replacing crown fires is high.

Lower elevations in Boulder's forests are characterized by more openly-spaced, predominantly ponderosa pine forests. Fire history reconstruction for these stands (i.e. Mp-1 stands) reflect the lowest historical MFI and WMPI, a frequency of disturbance responsible for maintaining their park-like quality (Veblen and Lorenz 1991). Fire suppression appears to have moved these stands outside their historical range of variability (Covington and Moore 1994, Morgan et al. 1994).

In order for managers to create a prescription for narrowing the discrepancy between the historical and present state of Boulder's forests, the complexity of the historical fire regime must be taken into consideration. This study provides data for stand-by-stand analysis and comparison of fire regimes. As management decisions will likely be made on a stand group level, the fire interval statistics shown here can help inform decisions at either scale. Below the stand level, though, the numbers of samples within each group of plots may not be large enough to properly quantify fire history. Therefore, caution is advised when interpreting the results of this study's use of individual plot-level data, although the particular characteristics of each plot can be qualitatively examined against the fire scar record.

Differences in fire frequencies between aspect, elevation, and topographic position groups should be viewed as trends rather than quantifications. Their relationships have valid statistical analyses, while the often small number of samples used to compute the fire interval statistics may not accurately capture the fire history of the larger area. In conjunction with stand and stand-group level fire history reconstructions, the relative lengths of fire frequencies across landscape features can be quite informative about the historical range of variability of Boulder's diverse forests.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The Forest Ecosystem Management Plan (FEMP) has set forth recommendations for prescriptions for the Open Space stands and general directives for the Mountain Parks stands. Desired future conditions can be summarized as a shift into the historical range of variability for Boulder forests. To facilitate this shift, three coarse-level management options are proposed: 1) Prescribed fire, 2) Thinning followed by prescribed burning, and 3) No thinning or prescribed fire. An alternative to these three treatments is that suggested for some of the steeper, less accessible stands of Open Space and Mountain Parks. After the thinning and prescribed fire prescriptions are carried out in the lower elevation stands, a policy of prescribed natural fire would be instituted in the remaining stands where conditions allowed (City of Boulder 1999). Sections of the surrounding lower elevation stands would serve as fuel breaks, and lightning-ignited fires would burn naturally but under careful guard in the higher elevations, without causing a threat to the urban-interface population. (City of Boulder 1999).

Although specific plans for managing Open Space forests are described in the FEMP, the stand-level management recommendations for Mountain Parks are currently in a state of development. As most of Mountain Parks forests are located on the steep and rocky slopes of the Flatirons, access for mechanical prescriptions is limited. Additionally, the stands create the highly visible backdrop for the city of Boulder, and removal of overstory trees would likely spur contention. Recommendations for Open Space forests are analyzed here in relation to the fire history results of this study. Mountain Parks stands with similar attributes are treated along with the Open Space stands when applicable. Further management implications for Mountain Parks

stands can be extrapolated from the tables in Appendix A which describe the fire regime for the management areas and stands sampled.

Stand-level Management Implications

Of the stands included in this fire history analysis, Eldo-E and Fox-W are the two candidates in the FEMP for thinning followed by prescribed fire. The WMPI for Eldo-E is 5.5 years, while Fox-W had very few fire scars, resulting in a MFI of 8 years based on only two intervals (Table 4). With many plots to sample, Eldo-E only yielded four fire-scarred samples. The average age of Eldo-E is very young, suggesting that its ponderosa pine population has been encroaching on the grassland-ecotone as a result of fire suppression. With a maximum fire interval of 48 and a median intervals of 3 years, the adaptive management scheme set forth in the FEMP should consider frequent repetitions of prescribed fire if possible. Eldo-E would likely fit better within its historical range of variability if some of the forest was burned under a higher intensity fire regime, to limit the encroachment of ponderosa pine into the grasslands.

On the other hand, Fox-W, with its steep slopes, dense overstory cover, and mixed-canopy dominance, was not likely the product of a high-frequency fire regime. The lack of scarred materials throughout the stand, along with the low average age, suggest that this stand may have been a result of a stand-replacing fire or a patchy crown fire near the turn of the century. A lack of stumps suggests that the stand was not thoroughly logged. Two lightning scars on the ridgetop may explain the fire occurrence in the 20th century-- captured in nearby wedge samples and used for this study. A thinning procedure in such a steep forest with thin soils would likely instigate erosion, and may be more destructive than beneficial. Yet prescribed burning without thinning would presumably evoke a crown fire. For Fox-W, a light, understory thin may be the only applicable prescription until the surrounding lands are secured as fire breaks to manage a more intense fire, or a prescription of natural fire.

Prescribed fire is the suggested management option for BS, Fox-E, and KSLR stands, which are most similar in overstory characteristics to the Mountain Parks MP-1 stands (1-15, 1-2, and 1-9), and less so to 4-10, 4-2, and 4-7. KSLR is composed of two aspects, the westerly-aspect with a mixed canopy dominance and the easterly-aspect with a ponderosa pine dominant overstory. Prescribed fire on the steeper, more dense westerly part of the stand may not be advisable. Fire-scarred material was found mostly in the eastern portion of the stand, suggesting that a fire return interval of around 8 years (Table 4: WMPI) should be used there as a basis for prescriptions. The MP-1 stands, also with a WMPI of around 8 years, are strictly ponderosa pine-dominated with basal density comparable to that of KSLR and Fox-W (Table 1). A high-frequency, low-intensity prescribed or natural fire regime would likely maintain the ponderosa pine dominance and openness of these stands, while thinning the Douglas-fir understory. For stands 4-7 and 4-10, the higher WMPI is likely related to its higher elevation and the associated environmental consequences. With the lowest percent canopy cover and nearly lowest basal area of all the stands sampled in this study, the even-aged yet patchy cohorts of living trees in 4-10 did not supply any fire scars in the sampled area, suggesting a mixed fire regime of stand-replacing and low-intensity fires. The use of prescribed natural fire would be the management option to consider to encourage the development of old-growth features in 4-10 as well as 4-7. Proximity to urban-interface dwellers must be considered in fire implementations in each of these areas.

Both BS and Fox-E are likewise open stands but with higher ponderosa pine dominance and fire return intervals of between 17 and 19 years. Although the number of datable fire scars in BS was low, the high percentage of trees in larger diameter classes (greater than 18" dbh) along with the low level of regeneration indicates that this stand was influenced by a high-frequency but low-intensity disturbance regime. Logging in BS and Fox-E may have lowered the average age and density of the stands, while the characteristics of both BS and Fox-E still resemble a traditional open ponderosa pine stand influenced by a frequent, low-intensity disturbance regime.

54

The fire interval data collected for BS in this study is not sufficient to properly describe its fire history. Stand characteristics noted in the FEMP and the Forest Inventory Database re better indicators of the historical range of variability.

Fox-E, again with a relatively high number of tallied trees in larger diameter classes, had a minimum fire interval of 7 years with a WMPI of 16 years (Table 4). This may help managers determine the cycle of prescribed burns to be applied to the stand. Fox-E is located directly above Boulder Canyon's highway and is bordered by private lands. The application of prescribed fire should be weighed against the risks of its location. Neighboring stands, such as Fox-W, are steeper and harbor an abundance of "ladder fuel" understory vegetation, threatening a crown fire if a Fox-E prescribed burn were to exceed its boundaries. As the stewardship of old-growth forest development is among the directives for forest management in Boulder, Fox-E, along with the MP-1 stands, will likely continue to move towards old-growth characteristics regardless of the applicability of a prescribed fire management regime. Likely equally effective in managing the stand would be the lopping and removal of patches of seedling regeneration. The proliferation of dwarf mistletoe in these stands could be treated with selective removal of heavily infected overstory trees, if prescribed fire cannot be utilized.

The third group of stands for which the Forest Ecosystem Management Plan provides guidelines include half of those used to determine Open Space's fire history in this study. These are the higher elevation, and/or steeper stands with a more northerly or westerly aspect, including BT (Barut-NE), Eldo-N and Eldo-W, MQC and MQC-W, and SG-S. The FEMP suggests a prescription of no thinning or prescribed fire for these stands. The fire reconstructions in this study mostly support such a policy.

As mentioned elsewhere in this report, the higher fire frequency for the Eldo stands is a reflection of their proximity to railroads and mining developments of the late 1800s and early- to mid-1900s. As few lightning scars were found in these stands, it is unlikely that the fire regime

determined here for Eldo-N and Eldo-W reflects "natural" ignitions (i.e. only lightning strikes). Native American burning in the upper reaches of Eldo was unlikely as well, as these extremely rugged stands were inaccessible until the railroad tunnels were developed in the mid-1900s.

The Eldo stands have a mixed canopy, with higher proportions of Douglas-fir than any other group (Table 1). The greatest canopy dominance by Douglas-fir is in Eldo-S, where the measures of central tendency for the fire regime intervals are accordingly amongst the highest (Table 4). The steep topography and densely-spaced overstory of the Eldo forests is embellished by a high fuel load of downed large-diameter trees, suggesting an infrequent fire regime. In support of this assessment, many of the samples extracted from the Eldo stands were from snags and severely weakened trees whose bole parameters were almost entirely burned. A future policy of prescribed natural fire for these stands would likely be appropriate, if the boundaries were treated to sufficiently contain the resulting intense fires.

The neighboring stands MQC and MQC-W are nearly identical in their topography to the Eldo stands, but differ greatly in their composition, average age, and fire regimes. Although neither stand has many larger-diameter trees, MQC has more stems above 10" than MQC-W and is dominated by ponderosa pine rather than Douglas-fir. MQC-W splits canopy dominance equally between ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, and has an average age merely half of that of MQC. Yet MQC has the longer fire return interval, a characteristic reflected by its abundance of densely-spaced seedlings. For each plot with fire-scarred material, a lightning scar was noted nearby. The longer return interval for MQC may simply reflect the lesser extent of influence of lightning-ignited spot fires in the stand. A denser stand may record more spot fires as more trees are available to fuel the fire ignited by the lightning. A policy of prescribed natural fire would also suit these stands, while a portion of MQC could even be subjected to an understory burn or lopping and removal of the abundant seedling regeneration.

The MP-6 stands, for which no fire scars were found, are most similar to the MQC stands, although the ponderosa pine presence is lower and the elevation is slightly higher. The lack of fire scars in the MP-6 stands may be explained by the longevity of the snow pack, the higher levels of precipitation, the northerly aspects, and the limiting characteristics of the soil and extremely rocky, steep topography. The physical characteristics of these forests prevent the accumulation of the fine fuels necessary to carry fire across the landscape. High levels of downed woody debris, if given a long and dry summer season, would provide the fuel necessary for a high intensity fire. It is likely that only a high intensity fire would be sustainable in these fuel types. The management plan of no prescribed fire is appropriate for these stands, as application of fire could not be safely controlled given the topography.

Closest in proximity to the MP-6 and MQC stands are the MP-7 forests, although they generally have less regeneration, basal area per square foot, and a much higher percentage of ponderosa pine in the overstory. Compared with MQC and MQC-W, MP-7 stands have a much higher fire return interval (Table 5). These stands would best be managed with a prescribed natural fire regime in the future, allowing for the lightning-controlled fire ignitions to dominate the occurrence of disturbance events. At higher elevations than the MQC stands, MP-7 and MP-6 forests, where fire plays less of a role in ecosystem processes, seem less affected by the policy of fire suppression.

Included in the "no prescribed fire or thinning" prescription, SG-S is amongst the least accessible stands in this study. The SG-S fire regime reflects the dominance of Douglas-fir and the lack of anthropogenic fire ignitions. Excluding only stand 7-7, SG-S, with a 22-year WMPI, has the longest fire return interval of all the sampled stands (Table 4). Lightning strikes were infrequent, while the influence of the railroad affecting nearby Eldo stands was somehow minimal (Figure 1). Fire scarred material was found throughout the stand, including the oldest fire in the entire study (1691). Historical fires in the SG- stands most likely were intense, larger fires which

57

left only a handful of trees scattered throughout the stand and instigated a surge of regeneration. Although SG-S trees are predominantly sized under 10" dbh, (City of Boulder 1999), each of the fire-scarred trees sampled exceeded this size. Nearly each fire-scarred sample included a scar dating to 1880 (Appendix B). This widespread fire year was probably responsible for the stand regeneration around 100 years ago and the resulting overstory trees which today dominate SG-S.

The suggested management approach for these stands with longer WMPIs may be appropriate for Mountain Parks stands 4-4 and 4-5 as well, although they seem to have been influenced by more frequent historical fire events. Located on the flanks of the Flatirons, 4-4 and 4-5 are steep, rocky stands with a Douglas-fir dominance, high density and basal area of overstory species, and relatively sparse regeneration. These stands were mostly inaccessible for logging during the Settlement era, and were likely too high in topographic position to be influenced by Native American burning of grasslands and forests just below the Flatirons.

The average age of the stands is high while the fire return intervals are very low. Proximity to the massive rock faces of the Flatirons, as well as their frontal position against the Great Plains helps explain the ubiquitous lightning scars found spread throughout the stands. The high fire frequencies may be a function of the number of older trees remaining in 4-4 and 4-5, or of the better preservation of scars in these mesic, cooler sites. Regardless of the high frequency historical fire regime, the application of controlled fire or even silvicultural prescriptions would present a challenge due to the rugged topography. Plot-level removal of some of the suppressed Douglas-fir regeneration along with lopping of lower tree branches may mitigate the danger of crown fires in these stands. With frequent historical fires recorded for the stands, it is unlikely that stand-replacing fires were within the natural range of variability in 4-4 and 4-5. The present-day characteristics of the stands, as well as the historical precedent, may be well served using the prescribed natural fire approach only after the stand is treated mechanically.

Additional interests including preservation of understory vegetative communities and species of special concern, wildlife habitat, and soil conservation must also be considered in relation to the fire regime, although these factors are beyond the scope of this report.

CONCLUSIONS

The plot-transect sampling method is useful in capturing the variability of a historical fire regime within a single watershed. Unlike traditional sampling methods, it offers a statistical basis for comparison between sites based on vegetative or topographic features, location, or any other distinguishing trait of interest. Grouping of plots and their corresponding fire interval data allows for a statistical analysis of whether fire regimes are governed by topography, while a larger-scale grouping lends insight into the effects of climate or anthropological influences on the historical fire regime.

The size of the area sampled necessary to locate sufficient numbers of fire-scarred materials is much higher than that required of the traditional approach. Sampling is highly precise and dense, and thereby requires the researcher to utilize multiple resources (i.e. GIS, GPS) to locate plot centers and the corresponding data of interest. A fundamental question which could be explored in subsequent fire history studies is that of the ability to capture a long enough fire history record using systematic sampling. Sample piths dated as early as the mid-1500s, yet the first fire scar was not until 1691, and was not followed by another significant fire until the mid-1700's. Therefore, the ability of the plot-transect method to capture earlier fires depends on not only the presence of ancient fires in the given landscape, but on the random chance of an older tree or remnant falling within the plot's boundaries.

In contrast, casual sampling involves seeking out the oldest cohorts in a stand to find the longest record. As both the spatial and temporal trends of this study's fire history reconstruction reflect those discovered throughout the western US, the length of the record may not be of pressing significance. Further reconstructions of climate patterns may show that without major changes in climate cycles before 1700, the fire history for the Native American period might provide enough information to extrapolate a few centuries into the past beyond 1700. The time span necessary to define the historical range of variability is subjective, with the minimum length equal to the time it takes for a site's growing space to become fully occupied. Requirements of the length of the fire record should be based on the objectives of the mangers charged with fulfilling the objectives of policy makers, along with the characteristics of the succession patterns within the vegetation type. The last 300 years may provide sufficient information about fire history in Boulder's forests to inform the decisions aimed at decreasing the disparity between historical and current forest conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

Andrews, D., C. Clouse, M. Gershman, R. Moraga. 2000. *City of Boulder Forest Inventory Handbook.* City of Boulder Open Space Department, Boulder, CO.

Arno, S. F., H.Y. Smith, and M. Krebs. 1997. Old growth ponderosa pine and western larch stand structures: Influences of pre- 1900 fires and fire exclusion. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. INT-RP-495.

Arno, S. F. and T. D. Petersen. 1983. Variations in estimates of fire intervals: a closer look at fire history on the Bitterroot National Forest. USDA Forest Service Research Paper INT-301: 1-8.

Arno, S. F., J. H. Scott, and M. G. Hartwell. 1995. Age-class structure of old growth ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir stands and its relationship to fire history. USDA Forest Service.

Arno, S. F. and K. M. Sneck. 1977. A Method For Determining Fire History in Coniferous Forests of the Mountainous West. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report INT-42: 1-29.

Attiwill, P. M. 1994. The disturbance of forest ecosystems: The ecological basis for conservative management. *Forest Ecology and Management.* 63: 247-300.

Baker, W. L. 1992. The landscape ecology of large disturbances in the design and management

of nature reserves. *Landscape Ecology* 7 181-194.

Baisan, C. H., and T. W. Swetnam. 1990. Fire history on a desert mountain range: Rincon

Mountain Wilderness, Arizona, U.S.A. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 20: 1559-

1569.

Biondi, F. 1996. Decadal-scale dynamics at the Gus Pearson Natural Area: evidence for inverse

(a)symmetric competition? *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 26: 1397-1406.

Biswell, H., Kallander, H., Komarck, R., Vogl, R., Weaver, H. 1973. Ponderosa pine

management. Tall Timbers Research Station Miscellaneous Publication No. 2. Tall

Timbers Research Station, Tallahasee, FL. 49 pp.

Brown, P. M., M. R. Kaufmann, and W. D. Shepperd. *In press*. Long-term, landscape

patterns of past fire events in a ponderosa pine forest of central Colorado. *Landscape*

Ecology.

Brown, P. and C. H. Sieg. 1996. Fire history in interior ponderosa pine communities of the

Black Hills, South Dakota, USA. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 6 (3): 97-105.

Brown, P. and T. W. Swetnam. 1994. A cross-dated fire history from coast redwood near

Redwood National Park, California. *Canadian Journal of Forestry*. 24(1): 21-31.

Buccholtz, C. W. 1983. *Rocky Mountain National Park: A history.* Colorado Associated

University Press, Boulder.

Callahan, W. G. 1986. *The Boulder Weather Log.* Upslope Press, Boulder, CO.

City of Boulder Open Space, 1999. *City of Boulder Forest Ecosystem Management Plan.* City of Boulder Open Space Department and Boulder Mountain parks Division, Parks and Recreation Department, Boulder, CO.

Covington, W. W., P. Z. Fule, M. M. Moore, S. C. Hart, T. E. Kolb, J. N. Mast, S. S. Sackett, and M. R. Wagner. 1997. Restoring ecosystem health in ponderosa pine forests of the Southwest. *Journal of Forestry* 95.

Covington, W. W., and M. M. Moore. 1994. Southwestern ponderosa pine forest structure: changes since Euro-American settlement. *Journal of Forestry* 92: 39-47.

Covington, W., R. Everett, R. Steele, L. Irwin, T. Daer, and A. Auclair. 1994. Historical and anticipated changes in forest ecosystems of the Inland West of the United States. *Journal of Forestry* 2 (1/2): 13-63.

Covington, W. and M. Moore. 1994. Southwestern ponderosa forest structure: changes since Euro-American Settlement. *Journal of Forestry* 92 (1): 39-47.

Cooper, C. 1960. Changes in vegetation, structure, and growth of southwest pine forests since white settlement. *Ecological Monographs* 30 (2): 129-164.

Dietrich, J. H. 1980. The composite fire interval: A tool for more accurate interpretation of fire history *In* M. A. Stokes and J. H. Dietrich, eds. *Proceedings of the Fire History*

Workshop, Oct. 20-24, 1980. Tucson, AZ. US Department of Agriculture, GTR RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Dietrich, J. H. and T. W. Swetnam. 1984. Dendrochronology of a fire-scarred ponderosa pine. *Forest Science* 30: 238-247.

Drew, L. G. (ed.). 1974. *Tree-ring Chronologies of Western America. IV Colorado, Utah, Nebraska, and South Dakota.* Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, Tucson, AZ. Available at www.ltrr.org.

Fritz, P. S. 1933. *Mining districts of Boulder County, Colorado.* University of Colorado, Boulder, Boulder, CO.

Fremont, J. C. 1887. *Memoirs of my life.* Belford, Clarke, and Co., Chicago and New York.

Fule, P. Z., W. W. Covington, M. M. Moore. 1997. Determining reference conditions for ecosystem management of southwestern ponderosa pine forests. *Ecological Applications.* 7: 895-908.

Gill, A. 1974. Toward an understanding of fire-scar formation: field observation and laboratory simulation. *Forest Science* 20: 198-205.

Golblum, D. and T. T.Veblen. 1992. Fire History of a ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forest in the Colorado Front Range. *Physical Geography* 13: 133-148.

Grissino-Mayer, H. D. 1995. Tree-Ring Reconstructions of Climate and Fire History at the El

Malpais national Monument, New Mexico. Ph. D. Dissertation. Univeristy of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

Gruell, G. E. 1985. Indian fires in the interior west: a widespread influence, *in* J. E. Lotan, ed. *Proceedings: Symposium and Workshop on Wilderness Fire*. USDA Forest Service.

Hadley, K. S. 1994. The role of disturbance, topography, and forest structure in the development of a montane forest landscape. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 121: 47-61.

Harrington, M. and S. Sackett. 1990. Using fire as a management tool in southwestern ponderosa pine. *In* Proceedings of Effects of Fire Management on Southwestern Natural Resources: Tucson, AZ: November, 1988. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-191.

Husted, W. M. 1965. Early occupation of the Colorado Front Range. *American Antiquity* 30: 494-498.

Hogan, T. 1993. *Natural History Inventory of Boulder, Colorado, No. 13: A Floristic Survey of the Boulder Mountain Park.* University of Colorado Museum.

Johnson, E. A. and S. L. Gutsell. 1994. Fire frequency models, methods and interpretations. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 25: 239-287.

Johnson, E. A. and C. E. Van Wagner. 1985. The theory and use of two fie history models. *Canadian Journal of Forest Resources*, 15: 214-220.

Kemp, D. C. 1960. *Silver, gold and black iron: A story of the Grand Island Mining District of Boulder County, Colorado.* Sage Swallow, Denver. 230 p.

Kilgore, B. M. and D. Taylor. 1979. Fire History of a Sequoia-Mixed Conifer Forest. *Ecology* 60 (1): 129-142.

Laven, R. D., P. N. Omi, J.G. Wyant, and A. S. Pinkerton. 1980. Interpretation of fire scar data from a ponderosa pine ecosystem in the Central Rocky Mountains, Colorado. *In* M. A. Stokes and J. H. Dietrich, eds. *Proceedings of the Fire History Workshop, Oct. 20-24, 1980. Tucson, AZ.* US Department of Agriculture, GTR RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Madole, R. F. 1973. *Environmental history and land use recommendations for Boulder County, Colorado.* Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, Boulder, CO.

Mast, J. N., T. T. Veblen, and Y. B. Linhart. 1998. Disturbance and climatic influences on age structure of ponderosa pine at the pine-grassland ecotone, Colorado Front Range. *Journal of Biogeography,* 25: 743-755.

McBride, J. R. 1983. Analysis of Tree Rings and Fire Scars to Establish Fire History. *Tree Ring Bulletin* 43: 51-67.

McBride, J. R., and R. D. Laven. 1976. Scars as an indicator of fire frequency in the San Bernandino Mountains, California. *Journal of Forestry* 74: 439-42.

Morgan, P., G. H. Aplet, J. B. Haufler, H. C. Humphries, M. M. Moore, and W. D. Wilson. 1994. Historical range of variability: a useful tool for evaluating ecosystem change. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 2: 87-111.

Mutch, R. W., and W. A. Cook. 1996. Restoring fire to ecosystems: methods vary with land management goals. *In*: Hardy, C. C. and S. F. Arno, eds., *The Use of Fire in Forest Restoration*. USDA General Technical Report INT-341: 9-11. Ogden, UT: Forest Service Intermountain Research Station.

Peet, R. K. 1981. Forest Vegetation of the Colorado Front Range: composition and dynamics. *Vegetatio* 45: 3-75.

Pettern, S. 1980. *Red Rocks to riches.* Stonehenge, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

Pyne, S. J. 1982. Fire on the mountain: a fire history of the Southwest. Pages 514-529 in *Fire in America—A Cultural History of Wildland and Rural Fire*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Romme, W. H. 1980. Fire history terminology: report of the Ad Hoc Committee, *In* M. A. Stokes and J. H. Dietrich, eds. *Proceedings of the Fire History Workshop, Oct. 20-24, 1980. Tucson, AZ.* US Department of Agriculture, GTR RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Romme, W. H., and D. H. Knight. 1981. Fire frequency and subalpine forest succession along a topographic gradient in Wyoming. *Ecology* 62: 319-326.

Rowdabaugh, K. M. 1978. *The role of fire in the ponderosa pine-mixed conifer ecosystem.* Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO.

Sackett, S. 1975. Scheduling prescribed burns for hazard reduction in the southeast. *Journal of Forestry* 73 (3): 143-147.

Sampson, R. N., D. L. Adams, S. S. Hamilton, S. P. Mealey, R. Steele, and D. Van de Graaff. 1994. Overview: assessing forest ecosystem health in the Inland West, *In* R. N. Sampson and D. L. Adams, eds. *Assessing forest ecosystem health of the inland West.* The Hawthorn Press, New York.

Savage, M. 1989. Structural dynamics of a pine forest in the American southwest under chronic human disturbance. Ph. D. thesis. University of Colorado, Boulder. 198 p.

Skinner, C. S. and R. D. Laven. 1983. A fire history of the Long's Peak region of Rocky Mountain National Park. *In* Proceedings of the seventh conference on fire and forest meteorology, April 25-28: American Meteorological Society. Fort Collins, Colorado.

Smith, P. 1981. *A look at Boulder from settlement to city.* Pruett, Boulder, CO.

Stewart, O. C. 1951. Burning and natural vegetation in the United States. *Geographical Review* 41(2): 317-320.

Stegner, W., ed. 1962. *Report on the lands of the arid region of the United States, with a more detailed account of the lands of Utah.* The Belknap press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA. Pgs. 25-29.

Stokes, M. A. 1980. The dendrochronology of fire history. *In* M. A. Stokes and J. H. Dietrich, eds. *Proceedings of the Fire History Workshop, Oct. 20-24, 1980. Tucson, AZ.* US Department of Agriculture, GTR RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Stokes, M. A. and T. L. Smiley. 1968. *An introduction to tree-ring dating.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.

Swetnam, T. W. 1987. A dendrochronological assessment of western spruce budworm, *Choristoneura occidentalis* Freeman, in the southern Rocky Mountains. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson. 213 p.

Swetnam, T. W., and C. H. Baisan. 1996. Historical fire regime patterns in the southwestern United States since AD 1700. Pages 11-32 *in* C. D. Allen, ed. *Fire effects in southwestern forests. Proceedings of the second La Mesa fire symposium.* USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-286.

Swetnam, T. W., and J. L. Betancourt. 1992. Temporal patterns of El Nino/Southern Oscillation—wildfire teleconnections in the southwestern United States. Pages 259-270 in H. F. Diaz and V. Markgraf, eds., El Nino: historical and paleoclimatic aspects of the Southern Oscillation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Swetnam, T. W., and J. L. Betancourt. 1990. Fire-southern oscillation relations in the

southwestern United States. *Science* 243: 1017-1020.

Taylor, A. and C. Skinner. 1998. Fire history and landscape dynamics in a late-successional

reserve, Klamath Mountains, California, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management* 111:

285-301.

Tice, J. H. 1872. *Over the plains and on the mountains*. Industrial Age Printing, St. Louis. 262

pp.

Tunstall, B., Walker, J., and Am Gill. 1976. Temperature distribution around synthetic trees

during grass fires. *Forest Science* 22: 269-276.

USDA. 1975. Soil survey of the Boulder County area, Colorado. S. C. S. in cooperation with

Colorado Agricultural Experimentation Station.

Vale, T. R. 1981. Tree invasion of montane meadows in Oregon. *American Midland Naturalist*.

105: 61-69.

Veblen, T., Kitzberger, T., and J. Donnegan. 2000. *In Press*. Climatic and human influences on

fire regimes in ponderosa pine forests in the Colorado Front Range. *Ecological*

Applications .

Veblen, T. T., T. Kitzberger, and J. Donnegan. 1998. Climatic and human influences on fire

regimes in the wildland/ urban interface in the Colorado Front Range. *Unpublished*

report to the City of Boulder Open Space Department. City of Boulder Open Space,

Boulder CO.

Veblen, T. T., T. Kitzberger, and J. Donnegan. 1996. Fire ecology in the wildland/ urban interface of Boulder County. *Unpublished report to the City of Boulder Open Space Department.* City of Boulder Open Space, Boulder, CO.

Veblen, T., Kitzberger, T., and J. Donnegan. 1996. Fire ecology in the wildland/urban interface of Boulder County. *Unpublished report.* City of Boulder Open Space Department.

Veblen, T. T., and D. C. Lorenz. 1986. Anthropogenic disturbance and recovery patterns in montane forests, Colorado Front Range. *Physical Geography* 7: 1-24.

Veblen, T. T., and D. C. Lorenz. 1991. *The Colorado Front Range: a century of ecological change.* University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, UT. 186 pp.

Weaver, H. 1951. Observed effects of prescribed burning on perennial grasses in ponderosa pine. *Journal of Forestry* 49: 267-271.

Zackrisson, O. 1980. Forest fire history: Ecological significance and dating problems in the North Swedish Boreal Forest. *In* M. A. Stokes and J. H. Dietrich, eds. *Proceedings of the Fire History Workshop, Oct. 20-24, 1980. Tucson, AZ.* US Department of Agriculture GTR RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

APPENDIX A

Figure 1. Map of Stands

Stands delineated by City of Boulder Open Space Department and Boulder Mountain Parks Division for the forest inventory of 1996-1999.

Map adapted from City of Boulder, 1999.

Stand	Area	Aspect	Elevation	Plots	Slope	Canopy	S-f	S-d	Composition	Age	SqFtBasArea	Seedlings/acre
1--15	31	135	6068	13	34	57	10	9	100	115	90.8	10
1--2	27	200	6229	9	34	45	4	1	100	107	84	1.1
1--9	17	225	5794	5	55	48	1	1	100	82	52	0
2--1	12	180	6814	13	28	40	2	2	97.7	91	63	6.2
3--3	38	315	6921	5	54	62	5	5	31	95	128	10
4--10	27	45	7618	12	23	8.3	6	5	45.8	96	40	20
4--2	33	90	7019	15	40	38.8	4	1	79.2	104	62.4	20.6
4--3	35	360	6606	13	55	77	5	4	30.6	116	135	80
4--4	13	270	7240	7	58	38	14	13	12.5	117	123	10
4--5	47	360	6721	13	55	63.5	19	17	29.4	156	94	13.1
4--6	71	90	7296	10	27	42	1	0	64.7	108	85	10
4--7	45	135	7177	18	44	46	5	2	81.2	93	71	11.1
4--8	56	225	7513	13	56	37	9	9	52	115	74	13.8
7--1	69	225	7553	8	60	53	1	1	63	99	48	3.8
7--5	26	315	7053	6	62	70.4	2	2	22	145	120	31.7
7--7	28	270	7476	11	43	31	3	3	50	93	55	24.5
BT	18	45	7098	12	43	73	8	4	5.4	103	93	258
BS	33	225	6444	19	38	28	3	1	97	70	35	26.3
Eldo E	16	45	6605	18	23	46	4	3	97.8	44	104	444.4
Eldo N	22	270	6705	18	67	51	14	14	12.5	84.6	58	144.4
Eldo S	32	45	7604	10	47	80	2	2	2.4	80	82	320
Eldo W	49	315	7460	19	59	72	6	3	21.8	89.4	140	736.8
Fox E	19	90	6250	6	48	30	4	4	100	79	46.7	33.3
Fox W	11	45	6150	7	62	65	2	1	51.8	60.5	77.1	742
Kassler	14	360	6000	14	51	70	7	7	70	91.5	71.4	278.6
MQC	10	225	6853	5	49	76	7	6	24.3	135	148	140
MQC-W	30	270	6786	12	49	48	7	4	33.9	75.5	88.396	66.7
SG-S	18	315	6800	10	67	60	6	6	17.3	92.2	104	410

Table 1. Characteristics of stands with fire scarred materials. Area is in acres, aspect is the mode, elevation, slope, age, basal area/acre, and seedlings/ acre are averages for the stand, plots are number of plots in the stand, Canopy is the % canopy cover, S-f are samples found, S-d are samples conclusively dated, composition is % ponderosa pine in the overstory.

Stand	Area	Aspect	Elevation	Plots	Slope	Canopy	S-f	S-d	Composition	Age	SqFtBasArea	Seedlings/acre
1--5	19	360	6124	6	60	61	4	0	100	126	87	26.7
3--1	29	270	6615	10	45	55	0	0	33.9	102	96	42.7
3--2	19	315	6426	5	54	56	1	0	53.8	119	104	52
4--1	6	150	6685	9	18	39	0	0	100	88	53	1.1
4--9	21	45	7668	25	23	10	0	0	16.6	69	unk	4.8
6--1	14	45	8289	9	39	46	0	0	16.6	109	82	24.4
6--2	22	225	7987	7	51	64	0	0	65	146	131	14.3
6--5	13	360	7941	9	43	59	0	0	12	98	93	55.6
6--6	13	360	7567	7	52	70	0	0	16	133	71	14.3
6--8	13	270	8224	8	39	63	0	0	45	117	95	21.3
7--4	18	180	6940	10	45	16	0	0	100	unk	8	3.6
Eldo NW	10	360	6724	5	78	66	0	0	5.3	145.2	76	0
SG-N	41	315	7500	10	59	60	1	0	8.3	100.3	96	230

Table 2. Stands for which no fire-scarred material was found.
Area is in acres, aspect is the mode, elevation, slope, age, basal area/acre, and seedlings/ acre are averages for the stand,
plots are number of plots in the stand, Canopy is the % canopy cover, S-f are samples found, S-d are samples conclusively dated,
composition is % ponderosa pine in the overstory.

Aspect: Fire Regimes	No. Plots	No. Samples	No. Intervals	MFI	Median FI	WMPI	S. D.	C. V.
North	25	48	16	15.94	4	8.15	21.19	1.33
East	19	31	21	7.62	4	5.76	7.5	0.92
South	6	9	12	20.7	11.5	15.23	22.66	1.12
West	25	47	19	9.89	6	6.58	10.06	1.02
Elevations								
5500-6000 (A)	13	18	14	5.93	3	4.69	5.34	0.9
6001-6500 (B)	12	20	18	6.72	4	5.07	6.41	0.95
6501-7000 ©	17	31	15	12.07	9	9.61	9.63	0.8
7001-7500 (D)	15	40	13	9.92	11	6.66	9.81	0.99
7501-8000 (E)	15	32	13	20.38	9	8.71	28.79	1.41
Topographic Position								
Ravine	1	too few	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Lower slope	17	13	19	8.21	6	6.25	7.85	0.96
Mid-slope	32	60	14	12.71	5	7.67	15.26	1.2
Upper slope	19	37	14	14.36	13	8.6	16.35	1.14
Ridgetop	13	20	17	15	7	8.92	22.46	1.5

Table 3. Fire interval statistics for aspect, elevation, and topographic position groupings of plots.
MFI is the mean fire interval, WMPI is the Weibull Median Probability Index, S. D. is the standard deviation of the mean,
C. V. is the coefficient of variation for the mean.

Stand	First/Last Fire	MFI	Total Intervals	c = Shape Perimeter	W-Upper	W-Lower	Median FI	WMPI	WFFP	S.D.	C.V.	Min. FI	Max. FI
1--15	1842/1991	11.5	13.0	1.8	4.1	19.6	12.0	10.5	0.10	7.2	0.63	3.0	25.0
1--2	1862/1873	11.0	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2--1	1935/1942	1.8	4.0	2.3	0.8	2.7	1.5	1.7	0.59	1.0	0.55	1.0	3.0
3--3	1918/1983	13.0	5.0	2.3	6.2	20.3	9.0	12.6	0.08	6.9	0.53	7.0	21.0
4--10	1785/1973	31.3	6.0	3.5	19.5	43.0	29.0	31.4	0.03	10.5	0.33	19.0	49.0
4--3	1786/1861	37.5	2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	19.0	56.0
4--4	1786/1883	12.1	8.0	1.1	1.8	24.8	15.0	8.7	0.12	9.6	0.79	1.0	25.0
4--5	1842/1895	7.6	7.0	1.0	1.1	15.7	5.0	5.4	0.19	8.9	1.18	1.0	27.0
4--7	1895/1932	18.0	2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0	32.0
4--8	1785/1987	18.4	11.0	0.8	1.4	40.6	17.0	10.6	0.09	20.9	1.14	1.0	73.0
7--1	1816/1860	44.0	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7--5	1766/1860	27.0	2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	34.0	60.0
7--7	1828/1896	22.7	3.0	3.7	14.6	30.7	18.0	22.8	0.04	8.1	0.36	18.0	32.0
BT	1851/1986	16.9	8.0	1.0	2.2	35.2	9.5	11.5	0.09	23.4	1.38	3.0	74.0
BS	1908/1925	17.0	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eldo E	1870/1925	13.8	4.0	0.7	0.4	29.6	3.0	5.5	0.18	22.9	1.66	1.0	48.0
Eldo N	1903/1967	9.1	7.0	1.1	1.6	18.3	6.0	6.9	0.14	8.5	0.92	1.0	22.0
Eldo S	1788/1922	44.7	3.0	0.8	2.8	105.1	61.0	24.7	0.04	38.2	0.86	1.0	72.0
Eldo W	1864/1975	7.9	14.0	1.1	1.4	15.9	4.5	6.0	0.17	7.6	0.95	1.0	26.0
Fox E	1842/1955	18.8	6.0	1.4	5.0	35.3	11.5	16.1	0.06	16.8	0.89	7.0	52.0
Fox W	1909/1925	8.0	2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0	11.0
Kassler	1842/1963	9.3	13.0	1.3	2.1	17.7	9.0	7.6	0.13	7.1	0.77	1.0	21.0
MQC	1858/1994	19.4	7.0	0.7	0.9	42.5	6.0	9.3	0.11	29.6	1.52	1.0	84.0
MQC-W	1858/1991	7.4	18.0	1.2	1.6	14.4	5.5	6.0	0.17	7.1	0.96	1.0	29.0
SG-S	1691/1948	32.1	8.0	0.9	3.5	69.0	20.0	20.8	0.05	32.7	1.00	1.0	95.0

Table 4. Stand level fire regime statistics. MFI is mean fire return interval, c is the shape perimeter associated with the Weibull distribution, W-Upper/-Lower are the intervals exceeded by 87.5 and 12.5% of all other intervals, WMPI is the Weibull Median Probability Interval, WFFP is the probabiliy of fire occuring in any given year, S. D. is the standard deviation of the mean fire interval, C.V. is the coefficient of variation of the mean fire interval, Min and Max FI define the minimum and maximum fire return intervals, or the range of fire intervals. Stands with too few fires for analysis were not included in the table (1-9, 4-2, 4-3).

Mgmt. Group (>1 scarred, >=10%)	No. Stands	No. Plots	No. Samples	No. Fire Scars	First/Last Fire	c = Shape Perimeter	W-Upper	W-lower	Total Intervals	MFI	Median FI	WMPI	WFFP	S. D.	C. V.	Min. FI	Max. FI
MP-1	4	27	15	21	1842/ 1991	1.39	2.6	18	15	9.9	7	8.4	0.12	7.5	1	1	25
MP-2	1	2	2	5	1935/ 1942	2.3	0.8	2.7	4	1.8	1.5	1.7	0.59	1.0	1	1	3
MP-3	1	2	5	7	1918/ 1983	2.31	6.2	20	5	13.0	9	12.6	0.08	6.9	1	7	21
MP-4	7	91	51	88	1785/ 1877	0.84	1.3	33	6	15.3	9.5	9.1	0.11	19.5	1	1	53
MP-7	3	25	6	10	1766/ 1878	2.79	12.3	33	5	22.4	18	22.2	0.05	9.9	0	12	34
Barut-NE	1	12	4	9	1851/ 1986	0.98	2.2	35	8	16.9	9.5	11.5	0.09	23.4	1	3	74
BS	1	19	1	2	1908/ 1925	N/A				17.0							
Eldo	4	65	22	49	1788/ 1956	0.87	1.2	28	13	12.9	11	7.9	0.13	16.1	1	1	61
Fox	2	13	5	10	1842/ 1955	1.38	4.1	30	7	16.1	11	13.7	0.07	14.4	1	5	47
Kassler	1	14	7	14	1842/ 1963	1.3	2.1	18	13	9.3	9	7.6	0.13	7.1	1	1	21
MQC	2	17	10	32	1858/ 1994	1.26	1.2	11	25	5.4	4	4.4	0.23	5.5	1	1	29
SG	2	20	6	13	1691/1948	0.92	3.5	69	8	32.1	20	20.8	0.05	32.7	1	1	95
All Stands	29	307	134	260	1691/1994	0.72	0.9	40	13	18.0	5	8.8	0.11	23.6	1	1	75

Table 5. Management Area fire regimes. MFI is mean fire return interval, c is the shape perimeter associated with the Weibull distribution, W-Upper/-Lower are the intervals exceeded by 87.5 and 12.5% of all other intervals, WMPI is the Weibull Median Probability Interval, WFFP is the probabiliy of fire occuring in any given year, S. D. is the standard deviation of the mean fire interval, C.V. is the coefficient of variation of the mean fire interval, Min and Max FI define the minimum and maximum fire return intervals, or the range of fire intervals. Stands with too few fires for analysis were not included in the table (1-9, 4-2, 4-3)

Mgmt. Area: Fire Seasonality (% of Scars)	Dormant	Earlywood	Middle Earlywood	Late Earlywood	Latewood	Dormant + Earlywood	Middle- + Late- Earlywood + Latewood
MP-7	0	**50**	0	25	25	50	50
MP-3	0	25	0	0	**75**	25	75
Eldo	2.9	20	5.7	0	**71.4**	22.9	77.1
Barut-NE	0	20	0	0	**80**	20	80
MQC	0	20	0	0	**80**	20	80
MP-4	0	17.3	19.2	15.4	**48.1**	17.3	82.7
SG	0	0	0	0	**100**	0	100
BS*	0	0	**100**	0	0	0	100
Kassler	0	22.2	0	0	**77.8**	22.2	77.8
MP-1	0	8.4	8.3	0	**83.3**	8.4	91.6
MP-2*	0	0	0	0	**100**	0	100
Fox	0	0	25	0	**75**	0	100

Table 6. Percent of scars occuring in dormant, earlywood, etc. seasons for each management group.
Highest percentages for each management group are in bold.

Fire Period Analysis	Pre-1850; Native American Period	1851-1920; Euro-American Settlement Period	1921-1999; Fire Suppression Regime
Mean Fire Return Interval	9.69	5.89	19
Standard Deviation	18.25	7.94	2.83
Number of Intervals	16	9	2

Table 7. **Mean fire interval for historical periods.** Entire dataset included in this analysis. All the MF intervals were significantly different from eachother.

Fire Study, Period of Analysis	No. Intervals	Mean Fire Intervals	Range of intervals (years)
Skinner and Laven, Rocky Mt. National Park			
Full chronology (1703-1968)	24	11	1 to 51
Pre-Settlement (1703-1840)	6	21.5	5 to 51
Settlement (1840-1905)	9	7	1 to 18
Suppression (1905-1968)	8	7.8	1 to 19
Goldblum and Veblen 1992			
Full chronology (1721-1949)	14	16.3	2 to 49
Pre-Settlement (1721-1840)	3	30.3	3 to 49
Settlement (1840-1905)	6	8.7	2 to 17
Suppression (1905-1949)	9	9.1	4 to 28
Veblen et al. 1996			
Losobo (pre-1850)	unk	14.4	unk
Losobo; Recent Native American (1781-1850)	unk	15.7	unk
Losobo; Euro-American Settlement (1851-1920)	unk	6	unk
Losobo; Fire Suppression (1921-1995)	unk	not determined	unk

Table 8. **Fire interval statistics for studies near City of Boulder public lands** (adapted from City of Boulder 1999).

APPENDIX B

Master Composite Fire Interval Chart

LEGEND

▶	Fire Scar
▷	Other Injury
⊥	Pith Date
↲	Inner Date
⌐	Outer Date
T	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

1600   1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area MP-1

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ├ Pith Date
- ∠ Inner Date
- → Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

1-2-3
1-9-3
1-15-3
1-15-6A
1-15-6C
1-15-6D
1-15-8
1-15-11A
1-15-11B
1-15-11C
1-15-6B

1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area MP-2

LEGEND
- ▶ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- ∠ Inner Date
- 1 Outer Date
- T Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ···· Null Years

2-1-2

2-1-8

1942
1939
1937
1936
1935

1910   1920   1930   1940   1950   1960   1970   1980   1990   2000

Management Area MP-3

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊥ Pith Date
- ↙ Inner Date
- 1 Outer Date
- T Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ···· Null Years

3-3-4A
3-3-4B
3-3-4C
3-3-5A
3-3-5B

1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000

Management Area MP-4 (Samples 1-25)

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- ⤙ Inner Date
- ⤚ Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

Sample
4-2-4B
4-3-9B
4-3-11A
4-3-11B
4-3-11C
4-4-3
4-4-5
4-4-6A
4-4-6B
4-4-6D
4-4-7A
4-4-7B
4-4-7C
4-4-7D
4-4-7E
4-4-7F
4-4-7G
4-4-7H
4-4-7I
4-5-2A
4-5-3A
4-5-3B
4-5-4A
4-5-4C
4-5-4D

1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area MP-4 (Samples 26-51)


| LEGEND	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
∠	Inner Date
→	Outer Date
⊣	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

4-5-4E
4-5-5A
4-5-5B
4-5-8
4-5-11
4-5-12B
4-5-12C
4-5-12D
4-5-12E
4-7-2B
4-7-16A
4-7-16B
4-8-3A
4-8-3B
4-8-4B
4-8-4A
4-8-5A
4-8-5B
4-8-5C
4-8-9A
4-8-9B
4-10-5
4-10-11A
4-10-11B
4-10-4
4-10-4B

1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area Mp−7

Management Area BT (Barut-NE)

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
⊢ Pith Date
↰ Inner Date
↱ Outer Date
⊣ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

Management Area Eldo

| LEGEND	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
←	Inner Date
→	Outer Date
⊣	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

ELDO—N1
ELDO—N2
ELDO—N5
ELDO—N7A
ELDO—N7B
ELDO—N9A
ELDO—N9B
ELDO—N12
ELDON13A
ELDON13B
ELDO—N16
ELDON17A
ELDON17B
ELDO—E4B
ELDO—E4A
ELDO—E9
ELDO—S2A
ELDO—S2B
ELDO—W4
ELDO—W8
ELDOW14A
ELDOW14B

1600   1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area Fox

LEGEND

|	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
∠	Inner Date
⌐	Outer Date
⊣	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

FOX-E2

FOX-E4A

FOX-E4B

FOX-E5

FOX-W7

1842   1862   1909 1914   1925   1937   1948 1955

1850   1900   1950   2000

Management Area MQC

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- � Inner Date
- ⟶ Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

MQC-1A
MQC-1B
MQC-1C
MQC-1D
MQC-1E
MQC-2

1825   1850   1875   1900   1925   1950   1975   2000

Stand BT (Barut–NE)

LEGEND
- ▶ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊥ Pith Date
- ↙ Inner Date
- ↑ Outer Date
- ⊤ Bark Date
- | Recorder Years
- --- Null Years

BT–11C
BT–11D
BT–12
BT–12B

1851 1863 1871 1880 1884 1904 1907 1912 1966

1800 1850 1900 1950 2000

Stand BS (Bonnie Schnell)

BS-11

1906

1900　　　　　1950　　　　　2000

LEGEND
- ▶ Fire Scar
- ▷ Other Injury
- ⊥ Pith Date
- ↓ Inner Date
- ⌐ Outer Date
- ⊤ Bark Date
- | Recorder Years
- ⋯ Null Years

Eldo-E

ELDO-E4B

ELDO-E4A

ELDO-E9

1850   1875   1900   1925   1950   1975   2000

Eldo-W

LEGEND

|	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
∠	Inner Date
˥	Outer Date
⊤	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
---	Null Years

ELDO-W4
ELDO-W8
ELDOW14A
ELDOW14B

1600 1650 1700 1750 1800 1850 1900 1950 2000

Eldo-N

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
├ Pith Date
↙ Inner Date
→ Outer Date
⊣ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

ELDO-N1
ELDO-N2
ELDO-N5
ELDO-N7A
ELDO-N7B
ELDO-N9A
ELDO-N9B
ELDO-N12
ELDON13A
ELDON13B
ELDON14A
ELDON14B
ELDO-N16
ELDON17A
ELDON17B

1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Eldo-S

LEGEND
- ▶ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊥ Pith Date
- ⌐ Inner Date
- ↑ Outer Date
- ⊤ Bark Date
- │ Recorder Years
- ┈ Null Years

ELDO-S2A
ELDO-S2B

1650 1700 1750 1800 1850 1900 1950 2000

Fox-E

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- ∠ Inner Date
- → Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

FOX-E2
FOX-E4A
FOX-E4B
FOX-E5

1842   1862   1914   1925   1937   1948   1955

1850   1900   1950   2000

Fox–W

LEGEND
|	
▶	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊥	Pith Date
↙	Inner Date
↑	Outer Date
⊤	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

FOX-W7

1808   1814   1826

1850   1900   1950   2000

KSLR (Kassler)

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
⊢ Pith Date
⤆ Inner Date
⤇ Outer Date
⊣ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

MQC

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
├ Pith Date
∟ Inner Date
⌐ Outer Date
┤ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

MQC-1A
MQC-1B
MQC-1C
MQC-1D
MQC-1E
MQC-2

1850   1900   1950   2000

MQC-W

MQC-W5B
MQC-W6A
MQC-W6B
MQC-W6C

1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

SG-S (Snyder Gift South)

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- ⎿ Inner Date
- ⌐ Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

SG-S4A

SG-S4B

SG-S4C

SG-S4D

SG-S5A

SG-S5B

1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 1-15

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
├ Pith Date
↙ Inner Date
↗ Outer Date
┤ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

1-15-3
1-15-6A
1-15-6C
1-15-6D
1-15-8
1-15-11A
1-15-11B
1-15-11C
1-15-6B

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1842 1865 1869 1871 1874 1880 1886 1892 1899 1911 1925 1943 1966 1991

1825   1850   1875   1900   1925   1950   1975   2000

Stand 1-2

LEGEND

▼ Fire Scar
▿ Other Injury
⊢ Pith Date
∠ Inner Date
⟶ Outer Date
⊣ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

1-2-3

1882   1873

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 1-9

LEGEND

|	
►	Fire Scar
▸	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
↙	Inner Date
1	Outer Date
T	Bark Date
│	Recorder Years
┄	Null Years

1-9-3

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 2-1

| LEGEND	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
├	Pith Date
↵	Inner Date
↗	Outer Date
┤	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

2-1-2

2-1-8

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1935
1936
1937
1939
1942

1910   1920   1930   1940   1950   1960   1970   1980   1990   2000

Stand 3-3

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊤ Pith Date
- ⌐ Inner Date
- ⌐ Outer Date
- ⊥ Bark Date
- ── Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

3-3-4A
3-3-4B
3-3-4C
3-3-5A
3-3-5B

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000

Stand 4-10

4-10-5

4-10-11A

4-10-11B

4-10-4

4-10-4B

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1785   1838   1917   1938   1973

1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 4-2

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
⊢ Pith Date
⌐ Inner Date
⌐ Outer Date
⊣ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

/--▼   4-2-4B

————————————————————————————⊣   COMPOSITE
                                          1894   ALL SERIES
                                                      MIN SCARS = 1
                                                      MIN SAMP = 1

1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000

Stand 4-3

4-3-9B

4-3-11A

4-3-11B

4-3-11C

COMPOSITE
ALL SERIES
MIN SCARS = 1
MIN SAMP = 1

1788   1842   1861

1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 4-4

LEGEND
- ▼ Fire Scar
- ▽ Other Injury
- ⊢ Pith Date
- ∠ Inner Date
- ⌐ Outer Date
- ⊣ Bark Date
- — Recorder Years
- ---- Null Years

4-4-3
4-4-5
4-4-6A
4-4-6B
4-4-6D
4-4-7A
4-4-7B
4-4-7C
4-4-7D
4-4-7E
4-4-7F
4-4-7G
4-4-7H
4-4-7I

1750　1800　1850　1900　1950　2000

Stand 4-5

LEGEND

▶	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
⌐	Inner Date
˥	Outer Date
T	Bark Date
	Recorder Years
---	Null Years

4-5-2A
4-5-3A
4-5-3B
4-5-4A
4-5-4C
4-5-4D
4-5-4E
4-5-5A
4-5-5B
4-5-8
4-5-11
4-5-12B
4-5-12C
4-5-12D
4-5-12E

1700　1750　1800　1850　1900　1950　2000

Stand 4-7

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
├ Pith Date
╘ Inner Date
╛ Outer Date
╡ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

4-7-2B
4-7-16A
4-7-16B

1827
1832

1650   1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 4-8

LEGEND
▼ Fire Scar
▽ Other Injury
├ Pith Date
↙ Inner Date
↗ Outer Date
┤ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

4-8-3A
4-8-3B
4-8-4B
4-8-4A
4-8-5A
4-8-5B
4-8-5C
4-8-9A
4-8-9B

1700   1750   1800   1850   1900   1950   2000

Stand 7-1

LEGEND
|	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
∟	Inner Date
⌐	Outer Date
⊣	Bark Date
——	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

7-7-1

1816

1800	1825	1850	1875	1900	1925	1950	1975	2000

Stand 7-5

LEGEND	
▼	Fire Scar
▽	Other Injury
⊢	Pith Date
∟	Inner Date
→	Outer Date
⊣	Bark Date
—	Recorder Years
----	Null Years

7-5-4

7-5-4B

1766 1800 1860

1700 1750 1800 1850 1900 1950 2000

Stand 7-7

LEGEND
▶ Fire Scar
▷ Other Injury
⊥ Pith Date
↙ Inner Date
↑ Outer Date
⊤ Bark Date
— Recorder Years
---- Null Years

7-7-4A
7-7-4B
7-7-8

1829
1860
1878

1800   1850   1900   1950   2000